

---

Agency Information

AGENCY : CIA  
RECORD NUMBER : 104-10268-10001  
RECORD SERIES : JFK  
AGENCY FILE NUMBER : 80T01357A

---

Document Information

ORIGINATOR : CIA  
FROM :  
TO :

TITLE : HELMS HEARING DUPLICATE - VOLUME II, COPY 5 OF HELMS HEARING.

DATE : 01/01/0000  
PAGES : 157

SUBJECTS :

DUPLICATE  
VOL II, COPY 5  
UNIT INDEX  
HELMS HEARING

Released under the John  
F. Kennedy  
Assassination Records  
Collection Act of 1992  
(44 USC 2107 Note).  
Case#:NW 53216 Date:  
06-08-2017

DOCUMENT TYPE : PAPER - TEXTUAL DOCUMENT  
CLASSIFICATION : Not Marked  
RESTRICTIONS : 1B  
CURRENT STATUS : Withhold  
DATE OF LAST REVIEW : 05/13/1998

OPENING CRITERIA :

COMMENTS : JFK4 : F2 : 1998.05.13.17:32:22:153031 : DUPLICATE OF VOL II, COPY 1 OF HELMS HEARING  
IN JFK 3, F2 WHERE DOCUMENTS WERE INDIVIDUALLY PROCESSED. THIS COPY WAS  
UNIT INDEXED.

~~SECRET~~REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITEDTABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Memorandum for Winston m. SCOTT, dated 13 October 1966; Subject: Lee Harvey OSWALD.
2. Note, undated, regarding contacts of ALFEREV.
3. Memorandum for Assistant Deputy Director, Plans, dated 23 November 1963; Subject: Contact of Lee OSWALD with a member of Soviet KGB Assassination Department. [FOIA 34-538]
4. Memorandum for the Chief, dated 25 November 1964; Subject: June COBB. [IX 1964] FOIA No. 928-927]
5. Memorandum for Deputy Assistant Secretary for Security Department of State, dated 16 September 1969; Subject: Charles William THOMAS. [FOIA No. 1133-992]
6. CSCI 3/779,814, dated 30 January 1964; Subject: Jack L. RUBY - Lee Harvey OSWALD.
7. PARI-1731 (IN 73050), 4 December 1963 [FOIA No. 298-697]
8. Note to Richard HELMS, dated 23 March 1964, regarding briefing at Allen DULLES' home on 4 March 1964. [FOIA 618-793]
9. Memorandum of Conversation, dated 16 January 1964; Subject: CIA Work in Support of the Warren Commission. [SX-11057 - FOIA No. 482-193]
10. CSCI-3/779,135 to FBI, dated 16 December 1963; Subject: Peter DERYABIN's Comments on the Kennedy Assassination. [FOIA No. 413-76A]
11. Memorandum for J. Lee RANKIN, dated 5 June 1964; Subject: Allegations Regarding Intelligence Training School in Minsk, USSR. [FOIA No. 726-314 XAAZ-17620]
12. Memorandum for J. Lee RANKIN, dated 31 March 1964; Subject: Reports on Activities and Travel of Lee Harvey OSWALD and Marina Nikolevna OSWALD. [FOIA No. 627-821]
13. DIR-92725, 6 January 1964 [FOIA No. 456-771]
14. Memorandum for J. Lee RANKIN, dated 6 March 1964; Subject: Information in State Possession Regarding Lee Harvey OSWALD Prior to November 22, 1963. [FOIA 590-282 - XAAZ-17620]
15. Note from HELMS to RANKIN, dated 6 March 1964
16. Memorandum for Chief, CI/R&A, dated 20 February 1964; Subject: Documents Available in OSWALD's 201 File. [FOIA No. 563-810]
17. Memorandum for J. Lee RANKIN, dated 23 July 1964; Subject: Lee Harvey OSWALD. [DDP 4-3769]
18. CSCI-3/778,881 to FBI, dated 27 November 1963; Subject: Lee H. OSWALD/Soviet Activities in Mexico City, 13 - 24 November 1963. [FOIA No. 187-622]
19. DIR 85246, 26 November 1963 [FOIA No. 113-48]
20. MEXI-7045 (IN 67281), 24 November 1963 [FOIA No. 78-559]

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

- 2 -

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

21. Memorandum for J. Lee RANKIN, dated 31 January 1964;  
Subject: Information Developed by CIA on the Activity  
of Lee Harvey OSWALD in Mexico City, 28 September -  
3 October 1963. [FOIA No. 509-803]
22. Memorandum for DC/OPS, dated 23 May 1975; Subject:  
Review of Selected Items in the Lee Harvey OSWALD  
File Regarding the Assassination of the CASTRO Cuban  
Involvement in the John F. KENNEDY Assassination.
23. Memorandum for J. Lee RANKIN, dated 15 May 1964;  
Subject: Role of the Cuban Intelligence Service in  
Processing Visa Applications; Reaction of that Service  
to the Assassination of President Kennedy.  
[XAAZ-36307 - FOIA No. 697-294]
24. Note dated 10 April 1964 listing material from P-8593  
shown to Warren Commission. [FOIA No. 653-828]
25. Brief for Presentation to President's Commission on  
the Assassination of President Kennedy, 14 May 1964.  
[XAAZ-27212 - FOIA No. 695-302A]
26. Memorandum for ROCCA, dated 12 May 1964. [XAAZ-22809  
FOIA No. 689-298]
27. Letter to E. Henry KNOCHE, dated 15 April 1975.
28. Memorandum to Chief, CI/R&A, dated 19 June 1964;  
Subject: Lee Harvey OSWALD [XAAZ-27166 - FOIA No.  
739-319]
29. Memorandum for Deputy Director for Plans, dated 11  
May 1964; Subject: AMMUG/1 Information on Lee Harvey  
OSWALD. [XAAZ-27213 - FOIA No. 687-295]
30. Blind Memorandum, dated 5 May 1964; Subject: Debriefing  
of AMMUG/1 - OSWALD Case.
31. Chronology of RGR Meetings with Members of the Warren  
Commission [XAAZ-27161]
32. Memorandum for the Record, dated 1 April 1975; Subject:  
Conversation with David W. BELIN, 1 April 1975.

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITEDREPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED~~SECRET~~

REPRODUCTION

## Rocca Deposition PROHIBITED

- 1 1 April 75, memo for Record, R. Rocca
- 2 Chrono of Rocca meetings w/ Warren Comm'n
- 3 6 Jan 64, DIR 92725
- 4 24 Nov 63, IM 67281
- 5 5 May 64, debriefing AMM 06/1
- 6 11 May 64, FOIA 687-295
- 7 19 June 64, FOIA 739-319
- 8 15 April 75, letter from Belin to Knoche
- 9 23 May 75, Rocca memo on LHO file
- 10 12 May 64, FOIA 689-298
- 11 14 May 64, FOIA 695-302A
- 12 10 April 64, FOIA 653-828
- 13 15 May 64, FOIA 697-294
- 14 21 Feb 64, FOIA 559-243
- 15 27 Nov 63, DIR 85573
- 16 1 April 64, Coleman-Stawson memo #1977
- 17 15 April 64, Coleman-Stawson memo #1973
- 18 1 June 64, Helms memo to Rankin
- 19 31 Jan 64, FOIA 509-803
- 20 27 Nov 64, FOIA 78-559
- 21 26 Nov 63, FOIA 113-48
- 22 27 Nov 63, FOIA 187-622
- 23 20 Feb 64, FOIA 563-810
- 24 6 March 64, FOIA 590-252
- 25 6 Jan 64, FOIA 456-771
- 26 31 March 64, FOIA 627-821
- 27 5 Jan 64, FOIA 726-314
- 28 16 Dec 63, CSCI-3/779, 135 FOIA 413-76A  
CSCI-3/779, 235
- 29 16 Jan 64, FOIA 482-193
- 30 23 March 64, FOIA 618-793 0001874
- 31 4 Dec 63, FOIA 298-697
- 32 30 Jan 64, CSCI-3/779, 884
- 33 15 Sept 69, FOIA 1133-992

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITEDPAGE 1 of 12  
COPY NO.



REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

34. 25 Nov 64, 928-927  
35. 23 Nov 63, 34-530  
36. 13 Oct 66, memo from N. Ferris to W. Scott  
37. Office of Security Summary Report of CIA polygraph of Gilberto Alvarado Ugarte

I will be retrieved by OLC through OS

5/11/67

CCC1875

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 2 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

SECRET EYES ONLY

1 April 1975

## MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Conversation with David W. BELIN, 1 April 1975

Q: What was the line of reporting in CIA during the period I served as working level point-of-contact with the Warren Commission Staff?

A: It was a multiple leveled thing: (a) from the Commission and AWD personally via McCone to Dick Helms; (b) from Helms to the two or three components primarily concerned (SE, then SB, Dave Murphy; LA, then WH; and CI). In practice the substantive SB input worked up by one of its research components headed by Lee Wigren--Minsk photo for example, et al--passed via CI. CI had own defector input. In addition, on sensitive matters of concern to the investigation, Dick Helms and Murphy also dealt directly with the Commission.

*What were these sensitive matters?*

Q: Did you hear: ? ? [two crypts, one sounded by "Jackenet" ?]. I had not. Belin recalled that Castro had made a speech in which he had charged assassination intent by emigres and had promised retribution in kind if there was official support.

*reaflo? Monogone? 9/4/75?*

Q: Had any thought been given to the assumption that an anti-Castro assassination plot might have been underway, and fact it was known to Helms and to Castro? [Mr. Belin said he now knew that Helms was, in fact, aware of something underway regarding Castro. He had no evidence to show that I (RGR) had been informed about it.] Would that change any of the thinking re Oswald's Mexican trip and contacts?

A: Yes, I said, though I intended to give more potential importance to Oswald's KGB contacts and related that I and others--though recognizing the wisdom of the Warren Commission's handling--had felt there had been more to the Soviet aspect that should have been pursued:

a. Fact that his two Soviet consular contacts in Mexico City had been identified as KGB and at least one (both ?) had been traced organizationally to the 13th Department (assassinations).

E2 IMPDET  
CL BY 055431

SECRET EYES ONLY

0001876

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 3 of 212  
COPY NO.

#D 2552

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

SUBJECT: Conversation with David W. BELIN, 1 April 1975

b. Our defector information strongly insists that all Western military defectors--like Oswald--were screened by the 13th Department.

c. Thinness of file turned over by Soviets in view of b.

I acknowledged in retrospect that Cuban hypothesis was worth reconsidering, though it would appear that period of solid inter-service (KGB-DGI) relations began later (i.e. after 1967-68, Venceremos, etc.).

Q: Why did Oswald's lies include a denial to Dallas police that he had made the Mexican trip unless there was something important to hide about it? All his other lies concerned key factual elements of his cover story. Why also did Marina deny she knew anything about the Mexican trip in her first story to the FBI--which she later rectified before the Warren Commission? Mr. Belin noted that he had left the hypothesis of Castro/Cuban involvement open in his book. He added that it would have been important to have known the facts about the anti-Castro plot at the time of the Warren Commission investigation. He felt the least he would have done would have been to have insisted on the polygraphing of Marina Oswald regarding her knowledge of Oswald's Mexican trip.

*R. G. Rocca*  
Raymond G. Rocca

Counter Intelligence Operations

2

SECRET EYES ONLY

CCC1877

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 4 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

SECRET

XPAZ - 27161

Chronology of RGR Meetings with Members of the  
Warren Commission

14 January 1964	RANKIN, WILLINS
12 March 1964	RANKIN
27 March 1964	WILLINS ET AL (LIEBLER)
26 May 1964	"LIEBLAND" re DeMohrenschild
28 August 1964 *	"Commission" (Stinson)
12 October 1964	"Commission" (Stinson or Goldberg)

\*Believe Mr. Rocca was at the Commission at least one other day  
(probably Saturday, the 29th) reviewing proofs.

negative. calendar dated 10/6

xx This was a  
formal briefing on case  
developing, machine recording  
etc. Samuel A. STEEN was  
with WILLINS on this visit  
& Linsley Hays.

281-289245

CCC1878

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 5 of 212  
COPY NO.

# D 2553

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

5 MAY 1964

Subject- General: Debriefing of 05503-1 RE-DOGE Reaction  
Specific: OSWALD CASE to CIA Kennedy assassination

THE SOURCE DOES NOT HAVE DIRECT KNOWLEDGE OF LEE HARVEY OSWALD OR HIS ACTIVITIES BUT WAS ABLE TO PROVIDE ITEMS OF INTEREST BASED ON COMMENTS OF CUBAN INTELLIGENCE OFFICERS ASSIGNED TO THE DIRECCION GENERAL DE INTELIGENCIA, OF WHICH THE SOURCE HIMSELF WAS A STAFF OFFICER. THE INFORMATION APPEARING BELOW WAS FURNISHED BY THE SOURCE AND IS KEYED TO QUESTIONS SUBMITTED BY KUDSK.

1. Prior to October 1963, OSWALD visited the Cuban Embassy in Mexico City on two or three occasions. Before, during and after these visits, OSWALD was in contact with the DIRECCION GENERAL DE INTELIGENCIA (DGI), specifically with Luisa CALDERON, Mamuel VEGA Perez and Rogelio RODRIGUEZ Lopez.

VEGA whose Cuban intelligence pseudonym is MARCOS is the current Cuban IS chief in Mexico. He recently has had a tour of duty in Habana, prior to which he held the same post as now as Cuban IS chief in Mexico.

RODRIGUEZ is a Cuban IS staff officer in Mexico. He has three Cuban intelligence pseudonyms, Eduardo, Castro and Jose Antonio.


The precise relationship of Luisa CALDERON to the DGI is not clear. She spent about six months in Mexico from which she returned to Cuba early in 1964.

COMMENT: WH/SA/CI has the following references concerning Luisa CALDERON Carralero: She was assigned to the commercial office of the Cuban Embassy in Mexico City, and she had arrived in Mexico on 16 January 1963 and on 11 December 1963 made a reservation to return to Cuba on Cubana Airlines "MEXI 7371, 13 December 1963 IN-80351, HM-0153." She left Mexico City for Havana on 15 December 1963 using passport E/63/7 "MEXI 7423, 17 December 1963, IN-82692. Another reference "WMMA-20971, 25 March 1963," said that her date of arrival in Mexico was 19 January 1963 and that she had replaced Rosario VAZQUEZ, a Mexican secretary in the commercial office. Other references apparently concerning the subject are INM-6913 and MEXI 3205 IN-56591 dated 25 January 1963 which do not appear to add anything of interest to that given above.

2. The source does not know whether or not the Cuban Services used OSWALD in any agent capacity or other manner.

3. A. When news of the assassination of President Kennedy reached the DGI, it caused much comment concerning the fact OSWALD had been in the Cuban

~~SECRET~~



6001879

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 6 of 212  
COPY NO.

# D 2554

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

Embassy in Mexico. In addition, orders were issued for all headquarters and field officers of the DGI to sort and package all documents according to whether they were "Muy secreto" (very secret), "Secreto" (secret), and "Importante" (important). The material once packaged was to be held pending further instructions. In addition, all travel by DGI officers and all DGI pouches were suspended temporarily.

B. When the news that OSWALD was the assassin reached the DGI, there was no immediate comment but, when Fidel CASTRO spoke on television to deny Cuban involvement, there was considerable comment concerning OSWALD's visit to the Cuban Embassy in Mexico. At this time VAGA, who has been mentioned previously, was in Cuba and commented to a group of DGI staff officers that OSWALD had come to the Cuban Embassy in Mexico to request a visa but had been refused. VAGA did not give any details and the subject was not pursued.

4. It is not known whether information on OSWALD's visit to the Cuban Consulate in Mexico in September 1963 was relayed to any Cuban service.

5. It is not known if the Cuban intelligence services had contact with the New Orleans' Fair Play for Cuba Committee.

6. The only Molina who is recalled is "The Hook", the Cuban who was convicted in New York City for the murder of a young girl during a clash between two Cuban groups. The DGI staff officer who had been in the United Nations in New York, SANTIESTRAN traveled to Cuba with "The Hook" and with another Cuban named CRIBELLA and upon arrival in Cuba tried to obtain employment for both individuals. The names Pedro Charles and Peter have no known significance.

7. The reaction of Soviet officials in contact with the Cuban intelligence services is not known.

8. Nothing is known about Soviet proposals following the news of President Kennedy's assassination.

? — 9. The only fabrication known is the special matter by Fidel CASTRO previously mentioned.

10. Nothing is known about Jack RUBENSTEIN aka Jack RUBY.

11. The name Gilberto LOPEZ is not familiar but, if he visited DGI headquarters, a photograph of him possibly could be identified.

12. OSWALD's photo was seen in the newspapers but nothing is known about him other than what appeared in the press.

2

~~SECRET~~

CCC1880

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 7 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

Proposed Questions on Oswald Case

1. Was Lee Harvey OSWALD known to the Cuban intelligence services before 23 November 1963? If so which service?
2. Were the Cuban services using OSWALD in any agent capacity, or in any other manner, before 23 November 1963?
3. What was the reaction of the Cuban intelligence services to news of President Kennedy's assassination? To the news that OSWALD was the assassin?
4. Was information on OSWALD's visit to the Cuban Consulate in Mexico City in September 1963, relayed to any Cuban service? If so, what action was taken?
5. Was there any contact between the Cuban intelligence services and the New Orleans' Fair Play For Cuba Committee?
6. Has subject ever heard of Mario del ROSARIO Molina, or Pedro Charles known also as "Peter"?
7. How did the Soviet officials in contact with the Cuban intelligence services react to news of the assassination?
8. Did the Soviets propose any type of action or investigation to the Cuban intelligence services following the news of President Kennedy's assassination?
9. Was any provocative material deliberately fabricated by the Cuban services or others and sent to the United States to confuse the investigation of the OSWALD case?
10. Was Jack RUBENSTEIN aka Jack RUBY known to the Cuban intelligence services? If so, in what way?
11. Does subject know a Gilberto LOPEZ who entered Mexico on November 23, 1963 at Nuevo Laredo, Texas and four days later proceeded to Havana by special plane. He was 23 years old and carried a U.S. passport. He also had a Mexican tourist permit. Develop all information you can on this individual.
12. Attached is a photograph of OSWALD, and a letter from Havana which is self-explanatory for guidance of the case officer. Under no circumstances should the contents of the letter be made known to the subject. This information came from the Secret Service and FBI.

~~SECRET~~

0001881

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 8 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CONFIDENTIAL		<input type="checkbox"/> INTERNAL USE ONLY		<input type="checkbox"/> CONFIDENTIAL		<input type="checkbox"/> SECRET	
ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET							
SUBJECT: (Optional)							
FROM:		EXTENSION		NO.		DATE	
Chief, CI/R&A		7468		OXA A2-27213		11 May 1964	
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)		DATE		OFFICER'S INITIALS		COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)	
		RECEIVED		FORWARDED			
1. DDP							
2.							
3.							
4.							
5.						Document Number 687-295	
6.						for FOIA Review on JUN 1976	
7.							
8.							
9.							
10.							
11.							
12.							
13.							
14.							
15.						201-289248	
FORM 3-62 610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS		<input type="checkbox"/> SECRET		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CONFIDENTIAL		<input type="checkbox"/> INTERNAL USE ONLY	
						<input type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED	

G001F02

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 9 of 212  
COPY NO.

# D 2555



REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

SECRET  
EYES ONLY

#XAAZ-27213

11 May 1964

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Plans

SUBJECT: o AMMUG/1 Information on  
Lee Harvey OSWALD

1. This memorandum is for information and for action. The proposed lines of action are indicated in paragraph 4.

2. AMMUG/1, the Cuban Intelligence Service defector, has produced information of interest to the President's Commission on the Assassination of President Kennedy. CI Staff learned of AMMUG/1 on 30 April. A questionnaire was submitted by the Staff on 1 May (Attachment A). Mr. Swenson, who is handling the AMMUG/1 debriefing, provided a reply on 5 May (Attachment B). It was clear from Mr. Swenson's summary that AMMUG/1 had information bearing on the OSWALD relationship with the Cuban Embassy and Cuban intelligence personnel in Mexico City, albeit his knowledge was not that of a direct participant in matters affecting OSWALD. A follow-up questionnaire was submitted to AMMUG/1 on 6 May (Attachment C). Mr. Swenson's reply was dated 8 May (Attachment D). Attention is directed particularly to the content of paragraph two of Attachment D.

3. We have the problem of reconciling the operational exploitation of AMMUG/1 and satisfying the responsibilities we have undertaken with Mr. Rankin. AMMUG/1 is such an operational gold mine that Mr. Swenson wants, at a maximum, two months to work fully. It is palpable, however, that we must furnish to the Commission the substance of AMMUG/1's

CS COPY

SECRET  
EYES ONLY

Document Number

687-295

for FOIA Review on

JUN 1976

201-289248

CCC1883

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 10 of 212  
COPY NO.

4 atts.

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~  
~~EYES ONLY~~

information on OSWALD before that. On the previous record of our association with the Commission, particularly with Mr. Rankin, it seems possible for the Agency to work out an arrangement with Mr. Rankin personally that would take care of their needs and ours after a frank discussion of the equities.

4. It is recommended that the DDP in person or via a designee, preferably the former, discuss the AMMUG/1 situation on a very restricted basis with Mr. Rankin at his earliest convenience either at the Agency or at the Commission headquarters. Until this takes place, it is not desirable to put anything in writing.

Raymond G. Rocca  
Chief, CI Research and Analysis

Attachments

cc: C/CI  
C/WH/6 (without att)  
C/WH/SA/CI (without att)

~~-2-~~  
~~SECRET~~  
~~EYES ONLY~~

281-289247

CCC1884

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 11 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

SECRET  
EYES ONLY

6 May 1964

**Proposed Questions on Oswald Case**

**REFERENCE:** Debriefing of AMUC-1, dated 5 May 1964.

1. The source says that prior to October 1963, Oswald visited the Cuban Embassy in Mexico City on two or three occasions. It is public knowledge he was in Mexico during the period 9-25-63 to 12-5-63.

A) When did source learn of these visits - before or after the assassination? Please describe in detail the circumstances under which source learned this information and identities of the persons involved.

B) Did these visits take place during the aforementioned period or is the source referring to previous visits. If the latter, try to get more specific details regarding these trips and the origin of the source's information.

2. The source states that before, during, and after these visits Oswald was in contact with the DGI, specifically Luis CALDERON, Manuel VEGA Perez and Rogelio RODRIGUEZ Lopez. This would appear to mean that one or more contacts were made after Oswald returned to the United States i.e., between 12-5-63 and 11-22-63.

A) What does source mean by contact?

B) Can he break down these contacts by the specific individual named, place and type of contact, and date of occurrence?

C) Did Oswald know or have reason to suspect the intelligence connections of these persons?

D) What was the purpose of these contacts?

SECRET  
EYES ONLY

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

CCC1885

PAGE 12 or 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

EYES ONLY

- E) If Oswald did not know the intelligence affiliations of these individuals, what pretense, if any, were employed in contacting him?
- F) Did source ever see any documentary material on any of these contacts, or any correspondence initiated by or addressed to Oswald?
- G) Did the DGI ever employ physical surveillance, technical devices or camera equipment to cover these contacts?
- H) The source says he does not know whether the Cuban services used Oswald in any agent capacity or otherwise. This obviously makes it more important to check out what the source meant in question one.
- I) Did the DGI ever initiate an investigation of Oswald?
- J) What was the reason for packaging files at DGI headquarters and field offices after news of the assassination was received?
- K) Source was asked whether any provocative material was deliberately fabricated by the Cubans (Question 9) to confuse the investigation of Oswald. The reply attributed to him was, "The only fabrication known in the special matter by Fidel CASTRO previously mentioned." Please clarify.

~~SECRET~~  
EYES ONLY

CCC1886

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 13 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~  
~~EYES ONLY~~

07 May 1964

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Counter Intelligence Staff  
Attention: Mr. Roca

SUBJECT General : Debriefing of AMMUG-1  
Specific : The Oswald Case

REFERENCE : Blind Memorandum dated 05 May 1964 ✓

1. Reference is made to a telephone conversation yesterday with Chief, CI Staff concerning this matter.

2. Because I am not aware of the information already available to the Commission investigating the Oswald Case or furnished by the Agency, my debriefing of the Source has been based on the questions prepared by the CI Staff and on what the Source answers have suggested. I shall be glad to pursue any other topics suggested by CI Staff.

3. The Following information in addition to that in the reference might be of interest:

a. Luisa CALDERON, since she returned to Cuba, has been paid a regular salary by the DGI even though she has not performed any services. Her home is in the Vedado section where the rents are high.

b. Source has known CALDERON for several years. Before going to Mexico, she worked in the Ministry of Exterior Commerce in the department which was known as the "Empresa Transimport". Her title was Secretary General of the Communist Youth in the department named in the previous sentence.

c. Luisa CALDERON was transferred directly from her position in the Ministry of Exterior Commerce to a post in Mexico, a matter of surprise to the Source.

d. Rogelio RODRIGUEZ Lopez (DGI pseudo MORCOS), shortly before he left Cuba for Mexico on or about 16 April 1964, saw CALDERON.

~~SECRET~~  
~~EYES ONLY~~

201-289248

GOC1887

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 14 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~  
~~EYES ONLY~~

4. As explained to CI Staff any publicity which would reveal the identity of the Source, his defection to KUBARK, or the extent of his knowledge of DGI activities might prejudice the operational use of the Source himself and of information which he has provided. Accordingly, it might be appropriate to point out to the Commission (as agreed by DC/WH/SA) that KUBARK hopes, by using the Source, to obtain access to DGI personnel including those mentioned in paragraph 3 above.

Harold F. Swenson  
Chief, WH/SA/CI

~~SECRET~~  
~~EYES ONLY~~

201-289248

CCC1888

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 15 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_



REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

6 May 1964

Proposed Questions on Oswald Case

REFERENCE: Debriefing of AMUG-1, dated 5 May 1964

1. The source says that prior to October 1963, Oswald visited the Cuban Embassy in Mexico City on two or three occasions. It is public knowledge he was in Mexico during the period 9-26-63 to 10-3-63.

A) When did source learn of these visits - before or after the assassination? Please describe in detail the circumstances under which source learned this information and identities of the persons involved.

B) Did these visits take place during the aforementioned period or is the source referring to previous visits. If the latter, try to get more specific details regarding these trips and the origin of the source's information.

2. The source states that before, during, and after these visits Oswald was in contact with the DGL, specifically Luisa CALDERON, Manuel VEGA, Peres and Rogelio RODRIGUEZ Lopez. This would appear to mean that one or more contacts were made after Oswald returned to the United States i.e., between 10-3-63 and 11-22-63.

A) What does source mean by contact?

B) Can he break down these contacts by the specific individual named, place and type of contact, and date of occurrence?

C) Did Oswald know or have reason to suspect the intelligence connections of these persons?

D) What was the purpose of these contacts?

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
DATE 10-15-01 BY 60322 UCBAW

att 3 to XAAZ-27213

SECRET

201-287248

0001885

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 16 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

E) If Oswald did not know the intelligence affiliations of these individuals, what pretexts, if any, were employed in contacting him?

F) Did source ever see any documentary material on any of these contacts, or any correspondence initiated by or addressed to Oswald?

G) Did the DGI ever employ physical surveillances, technical devices or camera equipment to cover these contacts.

H) The source says he does not know whether the Cuban services used Oswald in any agent capacity or otherwise. This obviously makes it more important to check out what the source meant in question one.

3. Did the DGI ever initiate an investigation of Oswald?
4. What was the reason for packaging files at DGI headquarters and field offices after news of the assassination was received?
5. Source was asked whether any provocative material was deliberately fabricated by the Cubans (Question 9) to confuse the investigation of Oswald. The reply attributed to him was, "the only fabrication known is the special matter by Fidel CASTRO previously mentioned." Please clarify.

-2-

~~SECRET~~

0001890

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 17 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_



REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~  
EYES ONLY

08 May 1964

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Counter Intelligence Staff  
Attention: Mr. Rocca

SUBJECT General : Debriefing of AMMUG-1  
Specific : The Oswald Case

REFERENCE : A. Blind Memorandum dated 05 May 1964 ✓  
B. Blind Memorandum dated 07 May 1964  
C. Conversations between CI Staff and  
C/WH/SA/CI

1. When the Oswald Case first was discussed with the Source the specific questions prepared by CI Staff were posed. The answers given by the Source were reported in Reference A. That memorandum which I dictated to a CI Staff stenographer appears accurate except for sentence #9 which should have said that the only possible "fabrication" known by Source was the specific denial by Fidel CASTRO, on a television program, of any Cuban knowledge of Oswald.

2. On 07 and 08 May 1964 I further discussed the Oswald Case with the Source in order to clarify exactly what he knew and what he had heard. In the light of the explanation given by him, I believe that a clearer understanding of what he knows can be reached if I record his knowledge without using the question and answer format. Accordingly, in the following paragraphs I shall report all the information given by the Source paraphrasing his explanations and comments.

" I have no personal knowledge of Lee Harvey OSWALD or his activities and I do not know that OSWALD was an agent of the Direccion General de Inteligencia (DGI) or any other directorate or department of the Cuban Government.

I first heard of OSWALD after the assassination of President Kennedy when news media carried the name of OSWALD. Personnel in the DGI first commented about the case, so far as I can recall, one day after lunch when a group of officers, of whom I was one, were chatting. The officers present were about ten including Roberto SANTIESTEBAN, Norberto HERNANDEZ de Curbelo, Andres ARMONA, Pedro FARINAS Diaz and

CS COPY  
EYES ONLY  
SECRET

att 4 to XAAZ-27213

201-289248

0001891

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 18 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

# D 2558

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~  
EYES ONLY

2

Manuel VEGA Perez. Manuel VEGA Perez previously had been assigned to Mexico in the Cuban Consulate where he was the principal intelligence officer of the DGI. VEGA mentioned that OSWALD had gone to the Cuban Consulate two or three times in connection with a visa application during the time that VEGA was in Mexico. I gathered, although I do not know that VEGA made any specific statement to this effect, that VEGA personally had seen OSWALD. I well could have reached this conclusion because normally VEGA and his assistant in Mexico for the DGI, Rogelio RODRIGUEZ Lopez, would see persons applying for a visa to go to Cuba. This is because DGI officers are charged with expediting the granting of visas of agents of the DGI. Such agents on appearing at the Consulate use a special phrase to indicate their relationship with the DGI. (I do not know the particular phrase used in every case. I do know that agents from El Salvador in requesting visas always made mention of the name "Mauricio" ) The DGI officers at a Consulate interview visa applicants to find out if they are agents. If the visa applicant does not use one of the indicated phrases, the DGI officers instead of granting the visa immediately, tell the applicant to return in a few days. The officer then notifies Habana and requests authority for the visa. I cannot recall if VEGA even made the statement that he had requested permission to issue a visa to OSWALD, but I feel sure that he would have done so because VEGA had said that OSWALD had returned several times and this would be the usual procedure.

I believe that Rogelio RODRIGUEZ Lopez also would have seen OSWALD because he worked with VEGA and also would have screened visa applicants.

I thought that Luisa CALDERON might have had contact with OSWALD because I learned about 17 March 1964, shortly before I made a trip to Mexico, that she had been involved with an American in Mexico. The information to which I refer was told to me by a DGI case officer named Norberto HERNANDEZ de Curbelo. (I remember the conversation with HERNANDEZ

~~SECRET~~  
EYES ONLY

~~SECRET~~

0001802

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 19 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~  
~~EYES ONLY~~

3

because he gave me \$40.00 to buy some shoes and other items during my trip to Mexico.) I had commented to HERNANDEZ that it seemed strange that Luisa CALDERON was receiving a salary from the DGI although she apparently did not do any work for the Service. HERNANDEZ told me that hers was a peculiar case and that he himself believed that she had been recruited in Mexico by the Central Intelligence Agency although Manuel PINEIRO, the Head of the DGI, did not agree. As I recall, HERNANDEZ had investigated Luisa CALDERON. This was because, during the time she was in Mexico, the DGI had intercepted a letter to her by an American who signed his name as OWER (phonetic) or something similar. As you know, the pronunciation of Anglo-Saxon names is difficult in Spanish so I am not sure of how the name mentioned by HERNANDEZ should be spelled. It could have been "Howard" or something different. As I understood the matter, the letter from the American was a love letter but indicated that there was a clandestine-professional relationship between the writer and Luisa CALDERON. I also understood from HERNANDEZ that after the interception of the letter she had been followed and seen in the company of an American. I do not know if this could have been OSWALD. I, myself, considered the case of Luisa CALDERON unusual because I had known her before she went to Mexico when she worked in the Ministry of Exterior Commerce in the Empresa Transimport Department where she was Secretary General of Communist Youth. She was transferred directly from that position to a post in Mexico which seemed strange.

The only other person about whom you asked me in connection with this, whom I recall, is Sylvia DURAN and I know very little about her. What happened was that I was in the Cuban Embassy in Mexico on 23 March 1964 about 1230 in the afternoon preparing to return to Habana the same day. I was talking to some other Cubans including Rogelio RODRIGUEZ Lopez and Enu BUENAVENTURA of the Cuban Embassy; a courier named "Enio", a courier named "Tato"; and one other Cuban whom I cannot recall. We saw a pretty girl standing at the end of the reception room, and someone asked who she was, and one of the group said it was Sylvia DURAN.

~~EYES ONLY~~  
~~SECRET~~

0001893

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 20 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~  
~~EYES ONLY~~

4

I recall that, after the news of the assassination of President Kennedy reached the DGI, orders were issued for all offices of the DGI, at Headquarters and in the field, to sort and package all documents according to whether they were "muy secreto" (very secret), "secreto" (secret), or "importante" (important). The material, once packaged, was to be held pending further instructions. All travel by DGI officers and all DGI pouches were suspended temporarily. In addition, I recall that DGI Headquarters personnel were instructed either to remain in the DGI Headquarters offices or to keep the DGI aware of their whereabouts so that they could be reached immediately. I do not know the reason for these measures but I believe it logical that they were issued because of the possibility that the United States might take some type of action against Cuba and the DGI offices. As nearly as I can recall, we were able to unpackage DGI files and use them normally about the 3rd of December 1963."

3. In brief, the Source does not claim to have any significant information concerning the assassination of President Kennedy or about the activities of OSWALD. If the paraphrased comments of the Source are considered to need further clarification, I suggest that Mr. Rocca, who is most familiar with the details of the OSWALD Case, talk to the Source. This can be arranged at any time. Meanwhile, I shall have a question asked in a forthcoming LCFLUTTER to determine whether or not the Source seems to have concealed any information on this matter.

Harold F. Swenson  
Chief, WH/SA/CI

~~SECRET~~  
~~EYES ONLY~~

201-289200

CGC1894

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 21 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

<input type="checkbox"/> UNCLASSIFIED		<input type="checkbox"/> INTERNAL USE ONLY		<input type="checkbox"/> CONFIDENTIAL		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SECRET	
<b>ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET</b>							
SUBJECT: (Optional)							
FROM: Chief, CI/R&A				EXTENSION NO. XAAZ-27166		DATE 19 June 1964	
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)		DATE		OFFICER'S INITIALS		COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)	
1. DDP		RECEIVED		FORWARDED		<p>I think you will be particularly interested in paragraph 3 of Mr. Dooley's memo of record, attached. Art went over to wind up loose end we had with regard to the AMMUG information we had previously furnished.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Rock</p> <p style="text-align: center;">BY HAND</p> <p>Document Number <b>739-319</b></p> <p>for FOIA Review on JUN 1976</p> <p><b>SAME AS 1193-953</b></p> <p><b>201-289248</b></p> <p><i>Commission</i></p> <p><i>info:</i></p>	
2.							
3. Chief, CI/R&A							
4.							
5.							
6.							
7.							
8.							
9.							
10.							
11.							
12.							
13.							
14.							
15.							

FORM 3-62 610 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS ☒ SECRET ☐ CONFIDENTIAL ☐ INTERNAL USE ONLY ☐ UNCLASSIFIED

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0001885

PAGE 22 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

# D 2559

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

SOURCE EVALUATION:

The Source is believed to be very reliable. We have established through independent evidence and cross-checking that the major part of the intelligence information furnished by him is unquestionably true. For example, we knew independent of this Source that Manuel VEGA Perez and Rogelio RODRIGUEZ Lopez were Cuban intelligence officers in Mexico.

~~SECRET~~

WARNING NOTICE  
SENSITIVE SOURCES AND  
METHODS INVOLVED

0001836

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 23 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

XAAZ-27166

19 June 1964

TO : Chief, CI/R&A  
Mr. Raymond C. Rocca

FROM : CI/R&A/Mr. Dooley

SUBJ : Lee Harvey OSWALD  
(201-289,248)

CIA Memo re AMMUG-  
Info and Warren Commission  
with related documents.

1. On 15 May 1964, we provided the President's Commission with a summary of our debriefing of Cuban defector AMMUG 1 who had no personal knowledge of Oswald and no information linking him to the Cuban intelligence services in any manner. As a follow-up, Mr. Howard P. Willens of the Commission asked if he could see the questions used in the interrogation.

2. On 18 June, I took the questions and source's responses to the Commission and showed them to Mr. Willens. He studied them and returned the questions to me with the observation that they were very thorough and fully satisfied his requirements. He said that he saw no need to pursue this angle any further. The questions and answers exhibited to Mr. Willens are attached. At no time during our contacts with the Commission did we disclose the source's identity or cryptonym.

3. Mr. Willens indicated that the Commission was winding up its investigation. He was highly complimentary of CIA, referring to the agency personnel he encountered here and in Mexico as "real professionals." Mr. Willens asked that I convey his best regards to you.

Document Number 739-319

for FOIA Review of JUN 1976

Arthur E. Dooley

Attachment: a/s

Orig & 1 - C/CI/R&A  
1 - CI/R&A/AEDooley  
1 - CI/R&A/Chrono

CS COPY

~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassification

0001857

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 24 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

WARNING NOTICE  
SENSITIVE SOURCES AND  
METHODS INVOLVED

6 May 1964

Proposed Questions on Oswald Case

REFERENCE: Debriefing of Cuban Source dated 5 May 1964

1. The source says that prior to October 1963, Oswald visited the Cuban Embassy in Mexico City on two or three occasions. It is public knowledge he was in Mexico during the period 9-26-63 to 10-3-63.
  - A) When did source learn of these visits - before or after the assassination? Please describe in detail the circumstances under which source learned this information and identities of the persons involved.
  - B) Did these visits take place during the aforementioned period or is the source referring to previous visits. If the latter, try to get more specific details regarding these trips and the origin of the source's information.
2. The source states that before, during, and after these visits Oswald was in contact with the DGI, specifically Luisa CALDERON, Manuel VEGA Perez and Rogelio RODRIGUEZ Lopez. This would appear to mean that one or more contacts were made after Oswald returned to the United States i.e., between 10-3-63 and 11-22-63.
  - A) What does source mean by contact?
  - B) Can he break down these contacts by the specific individual named, place and type of contact, and date of occurrence?
  - C) Did Oswald know or have reason to suspect the intelligence connections of these persons?
  - D) What was the purpose of these contacts?
  - E) If Oswald did not know the intelligence affiliations of these individuals, what pretexts, if any, were employed in contacting him?
  - F) Did source ever see any documentary material on any of these contacts, or any correspondence initiated by or addressed to Oswald?

CS COPY

~~SECRET~~

WARNING NOTICE  
SENSITIVE SOURCES AND  
METHODS INVOLVED

0001888

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 25 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_



REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

~~WARNING NOTICE  
SENSITIVE SOURCES AND  
METHODS INVOLVED~~

G) Did the DGI ever employ physical surveillances, technical devices or camera equipment to cover these contacts?

H) The source says he does not know whether the Cuban services used Oswald in any agent capacity or otherwise. This obviously makes it more important to check out what the source meant in question one.

3. Did the DGI ever initiate an investigation of Oswald?
4. What was the reason for packaging files at DGI headquarters and field offices after news of the assassination was received?
5. Source was asked whether any provocative material was deliberately fabricated by the Cubans (Question 9) to confuse the investigation of Oswald. The reply attributed to him was, "the only fabrication known is the special matter by Fidel CASTRO previously mentioned." Please clarify.

Addendum 18 June with reference to #5:

The only possible fabrication known by source was the specific denial by Fidel CASTRO on a TV program of any Cuban knowledge of Oswald.

-2-

201 2892148

~~SECRET~~

~~WARNING NOTICE~~


~~SENSITIVE SOURCES AND METHODS INVOLVED~~

0001853

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 26 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED



5 May 1964

Subject - General: Debriefing of Cuban Source  
Specific: OSWALD Case

The source does not have direct knowledge of Lee Harvey OSWALD or his activities but was able to provide items of interest based on Cuban intelligence officers assigned to the Direccion General De Inteligencia. The information appearing below was furnished by the source and is keyed to questions submitted.

1. Prior to October 1963, OSWALD visited the Cuban Embassy in Mexico City on two or three occasions. Before, during and after these visits, OSWALD was in contact with the Direccion General De Inteligencia (DGI), specifically with Luisa CALDERON, Manuel VEGA Perez and Rogelio RODRIGUEZ Lopez.

VEGA whose Cuban intelligence pseudonym is MARCOS is the current Cuban IS chief in Mexico. He recently has had a tour of duty in Habana, prior to which he held the same post as now as Cuban IS chief in Mexico.



RODRIGUEZ is a Cuban IS staff officer in Mexico. He has three Cuban intelligence pseudonyms, Eduardo, Casimiro and Jose Antonio.

The precise relationship of Luisa CALDERON to the DGI is not clear. She spent about six months in Mexico from which she returned to Cuba early in 1964.

2. The source does not know whether or not the Cuban Services used OSWALD in any agent capacity or other manner.

3. A. When news of the assassination of President Kennedy reached the DGI, it caused much comment concerning the fact OSWALD had been in the Cuban Embassy in Mexico. In addition, orders were issued for all headquarters and field officers of the DGI to sort and package all documents according to whether they

CS COPY

~~SECRET~~

6001960

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 27 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

WARNING: NO DISSEMINATION  
SENSITIVE SOURCES AND  
METHODS INVOLVED

were "Muy secreto" (very secret), "secreto" (secret), and "importante" (important). The material once packaged was to be held pending further instructions. In addition, all travel by DGI officers and all DGI pouches were suspended temporarily.

B. When the news that OSWALD was the assassin reached the DGI, there was no immediate comment but, when Fidel CASTRO spoke on television to deny Cuban involvement, there was considerable comment concerning OSWALD's visit to the Cuban Embassy in Mexico. At this time VEGA, who has been mentioned previously, was in Cuba and commented to a group of DGI staff officers that OSWALD had come to the Cuban Embassy in Mexico to request a visa but had been refused. VEGA did not give any details and the subject was not pursued.

4. It is not known whether information on OSWALD's visit to the Cuban Consulate in Mexico in September 1963 was relayed to any Cuban service.

5. It is not known if the Cuban intelligence services had contact with the New Orleans' Fair Play for Cuba Committee.

6. The only Molina who is recalled is "The Hook", the Cuban who was convicted in New York City for the murder of a young girl during a clash between two Cuban groups. The DGI staff officer who had been in the United Nations in New York, SANTIESTABAN traveled to Cuba with "The Hook" and with another Cuban named ORIHUELA and upon arrival in Cuba tried to obtain employment for both individuals. The names Pedro Charles and Peter have no known significance.

7. The reaction of Soviet officials in contact with the Cuban intelligence services is not known.

8. Nothing is known about Soviet proposals following the news of President Kennedy's assassination.

9. The only fabrication known is the special matter by Fidel CASTRO previously mentioned.

10. Nothing is known about Jack RUBENSTEIN aka Jack RUBY.

11. The name Gilberto LOPEZ is not familiar but, if he visited DGI headquarters, a photograph of him possibly could be identified.

12. OSWALD's photo was seen in the newspapers but nothing is known about him other than what appeared in the press.

SECRET

WARNING: NO DISSEMINATION  
SENSITIVE SOURCES AND  
METHODS INVOLVED

0001901

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 28 of 212  
COPY NO.

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

V. RIGHTS NOTICE

~~SENSITIVE DATA AND  
METHODS INVOLVED~~

1 MAY 1964

Proposed Questions on Oswald Case

1. Was Lee Harvey OSWALD known to the Cuban intelligence services before 23 November 1963? If so which service?
2. Were the Cuban services using OSWALD in any agent capacity, or in any other manner, before 23 November 1963?
3. What was the reaction of the Cuban intelligence services to news of President Kennedy's assassination? To the news that OSWALD was the assassin?
4. Was information on OSWALD's visit to the Cuban Consulate in Mexico City in September 1963, relayed to any Cuban service? If so, what action was taken?
5. Was there any contact between the Cuban intelligence services and the New Orleans' Fair Play for Cuba Committee?
6. Has subject ever heard of Mario del ROSARIO Molina, or Pedro Charles known also as "Peter"?
7. How did the Soviet officials in contact with the Cuban intelligence services react to news of the assassination?
8. Did the Soviets propose any type of action or investigation to the Cuban intelligence services following the news of President Kennedy's assassination?
9. Was any provocative material deliberately fabricated by the Cuban services or others and sent to the United States to confuse the investigation of the OSWALD case?
10. Was Jack RUBENSTEIN aka Jack RUBY known to the Cuban intelligence services? If so, in what way?
11. Does subject know a Gilberto LOPEZ who entered Mexico on November 23, 1963 at Neuvo Laredo, Texas and four days later proceeded to Havana by special plane. He was 23 years old and carried a U.S. passport. He also had a Mexican tourist permit. Develop all information you can on this individual.

US EMB

20-289248

WARNING NOTICE

~~SENSITIVE DATA AND  
METHODS INVOLVED~~

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

6001902

PAGE 29 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

WAR IS NOTICE  
SENSITIVE SOURCES AND  
METHODS INVOLVED

12. Attached is a photograph of OSWALD, and a letter from Havana which is self-explanatory for guidance of the case officer. Under no circumstances should the contents of the letter be made known to the subject. This information came from the Secret Service and FBI.

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

201-281245

-2-

~~SECRET~~

WARNING NOTICE  
SENSITIVE SOURCES AND  
METHODS INVOLVED


CCC1903

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 30 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

COMMISSION ON CIA ACTIVITIES WITHIN THE UNITED STATES  
Washington, DC 20500



Nelson A. Rockefeller,  
Chairman

David W. Belin,  
Executive Director

John T. Connor  
C. Douglas Dillon  
Erwin N. Griswold  
Lane Kirkland  
Lyman L. Lemnitzer  
Ronald Reagan  
Edgar F. Shannon, Jr.

April 15, 1975

Mr. E. Henry Knoche  
Assistant to the Director  
Central Intelligence Agency  
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Knoche:

As you know, there have been allegations that the CIA was involved in a plan to assassinate Fidel Castro. For the purposes of this letter, we will assume that these allegations are correct, and based upon this assumption I would like to know the answers to the following questions:

1. Did anyone with the CIA tell any member of the Warren Commission or any lawyer serving on the Warren Commission staff that such plans had been underway? If the answer is yes, we would like to know what person or persons with the Agency delivered said information, to whom it was delivered, when it was delivered, what specifically was told or said, what documents there are to support the answers to the foregoing questions, and what response, if any, was received from the Warren Commission. If the Commission was not told about this, why was it not told and who made the decision not to deliver such information to the Warren Commission?
2. There is evidence that Castro, prior to November 22, 1963, knew about the existence of such plans and the possible involvement of the U.S. Government. Did the CIA at any time prior to the completion of the Warren Commission investigation have any documents or other information indicating that Castro may have known about such plans? If the answer is yes, would you please furnish us with copies of all such documents and details of any such information.
3. Several months prior to the assassination of President Kennedy there is evidence of a public speech by Castro that was reported by the Associated Press whereby Castro alluded

83

0001904

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 31 of 212  
COPY NO.

# D2500

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED


-2-

to possible assassination attempts on his life and possible retaliation. Would you please advise us if there is any evidence that this Associated Press report may have appeared in any newspapers in the United States, including specifically any newspapers in Dallas or New Orleans. Would you also advise us if you have any other information of public statements of any Cuban leader prior to November 22, 1963 indicating any awareness of possible assassination plots on the lives of Cuban leaders.

4. Would you please advise us if there is any information in CIA records to indicate that at any time prior to November 22, 1963 any foreign government or any foreign group was considering a possible assassination of any American leader. If so, would you please advise us of all details and also advise us who in the United States Government outside the CIA was advised of these plans or possibilities.

5. Prior to his death Lyndon Johnson supposedly stated that he believed that although there was no doubt that Oswald killed Kennedy and Tippitt, nevertheless Oswald might have been a part of a conspiracy in retaliation to possible United States assassination attempts in Cuba. Would you please advise us of any information in Agency files showing whether or not there was any communication to President Johnson of any possible plans involving the attempted assassinations of any Cuban leader and if the answer is yes, also give us all details involving this matter.

Sincerely yours,



David W. Belin  
Executive Director

CCC1965

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 32 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

XAAZ-22809

12 May 1964 1745

MEMORANDUM FOR: Mr. Rocca

Internal Memo Regarding Agency's Position in Dealing  
with Warren Commission

1. The DDP called me this afternoon, 12 May, to state that the Director has received a telephone call from RANKIN regarding the Commission's desire to interview him at 1030 AM, Thursday. Mr. HOOVER is scheduled to appear at 9:00 on that morning.

2. The DDP wishes to have from you a short but comprehensive memorandum which highlights the basic issues or positions entered into by the Agency in its dealings with the Commission. For example, RANKIN indicated that the Commission would wish to hear the Director's views as to how improvements might be made in protecting the President's life. Further, they will probably ask questions regarding the possibilities that a conspiracy existed. Such general questioning certainly necessitates that the DCI be made aware of the positions taken during previous interviews. I raised with Mr. HELMS the nature of the recent information which you are processing which originated with the sensitive WH source. I informed him that in your view this would raise a number of new factors with the Commission, that it should not go to the Commission prior to the Director's appearance unless we have first had some preliminary reaction or made sure that the Director is fully aware of its implications since it could well serve as the basis for detailed questioning. The DDP stated that he would review this carefully and made a decision as to the question of timing.

3. Given the schedule, you will appreciate that the DDP will need a paper covering the above points as soon as possible.

10

W/CH	✓	W/CH	✓
W/TH		W/TH	
W/F		W/F	
W/S		W/S	
W/SA		W/SA	
W/SU		W/SU	

J.A.

Document Number

689-298

for FOIA Review on

JUN 1976

CS COPY

201-289248  
200-5-41

0001906

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 33 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

# D 2567



REPRODUCTION

PROHIBITED

14 May 1964

~~SECRET~~

Brief for Presentation to

President's Commission on the Assassination

of President Kennedy

14 May 1964

Document Number 695-302 A

for FOIA Review on JUN 1976

CS COPY

~~SECRET~~

201-287248

0001907

REPRODUCTION

PROHIBITED

PAGE 34 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED~~SECRET~~Summary Outline

This brief is concerned with five topics which, it is anticipated, will arise in the course of the Director's appearance before the President's Commission. These are:

- a. Formal and unqualified negation by the DCI of any contact or association, direct or indirect, between the Central Intelligence Agency and Lee Harvey Oswald. This should be accompanied by the tabling of an affidavit signed by the Director and notarized. (TAB A)
- b. A formal assurance by the Director that all information in the possession of the Agency regarding Oswald's activities and associations outside of the United States has been made available to the Commission through its staff, with which there has been close and frequent contacts since the inception of the Commission's activities. (TAB B)
- c. An interim pronouncement by the DCI on the problem of conspiratorial responsibility for Oswald's

0001906

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITEDPAGE 35 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED~~SECRET~~

activity. It is suggested that the DCI take the position  
that at this time there is no conclusive evidence of  
conspiratorial sponsorship of Oswald; that all Agency  
information bearing on this problem has been furnished  
to the Commission for evaluation and consideration in the  
framework of its total knowledge of the facts. The Agency,  
at the present time, is lacking any knowledge of recent  
information or documentation furnished by the Soviet  
Government to the Commission with respect to Oswald's  
activity in the Soviet Union. (TAB C)

d. The DCI's suggestions for improving policy and  
organization with respect to the personal security of  
the President. Certain steps relating strictly to the  
Agency's jurisdiction have already been suggested by  
memorandum. It is proposed that the Director now  
make specific suggestions involving legislation which  
would treat information bearing on the personal security  
of the President and, advisedly, other Federal officials  
directly related to national security affairs in a manner  
similar to what currently exists by statute for special

2

0001909

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITEDPAGE 36 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED~~SECRET~~

nuclear material or weapons introduced to the United States or manufactured therein (The Atomic Weapons Awards Act of 15 July 1955). (TAB D)

e. Oswald's activity in Mexico and his possible relationship to the Cuban Intelligence Service.

Substantive information of grave concern to the security of sources and methods have been developed on both of these topics. The information and, in certain instances, knowledge with respect to acquisition has been made available on a highly restricted basis to Mr. Rankin and to certain elements of his staff. It is recommended that the DCI not respond on the record to queries on these topics. He should suggest that all such "technical questions" be worked out in detail through the channels and procedures that have functioned very well -- we believe -- between the Commission and particular CIA components. (TAB E)

~~3~~

0001810

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITEDPAGE 37 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

A

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

GOC1811

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 38 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED~~SECRET~~TAB AFormal Disclaimer of any Relationship with Lee Harvey Oswald

There have been rumors and allegations which began immediately after the assassination which branded Oswald as a U.S. Government agent. In many instances, CIA has been named as his sponsor. The authorship, geographical dispersion throughout the world, the identity of themes and the timing lend strong support to the conclusion that these charges are elements of a world-wide, Soviet-sponsored propaganda and misinformation program.

As DCI, Mr. McCone welcomes this appearance before the Commission to make a categorical and unqualified denial of these unprincipled distortions of the historical and personal responsibilities for what was a dastardly and heinous act. In witness of this, the DCI submits to the Commission an affidavit which formalizes this statement. The affidavit is attached.

It is recommended that the DCI state concurrently with this action that he desires to draw the Commission's attention to the fact that the irresponsible charges that have been made, sponsored

0001012

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITEDPAGE 39 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

and replayed by Soviet bloc and Communist individuals and organs since the assassination of the President, recall the methods and the purposes of the broader campaign against American intelligence and security components which has built up momentum over the past six years. We have traced the sponsorship of that campaign directly to individuals and components within the Soviet police state apparatus. Their unscrupulous treatment of the Oswald case was neither new as a method nor a surprise as an occurrence.

If the DCI is challenged by anyone on the Commission about the substance or implication of his affidavit, it is recommended that response be along the following lines:

CIA is a democratic institution. The DCI has incorporated in his affidavit the results of detailed and painstaking examination. If any qualification is raised with respect to the affidavit, he willingly undertakes the extraordinary step of releasing any individual in CIA to appear before the Commission and to testify with respect to any knowledge bearing on the Commission's task.

2

0001913.

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITEDPAGE 40 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

AFIDAVIT OF JOHN A. McCONE

STATE OF VIRGINIA )

COUNTY OF FAIRFAX )

JOHN A. McCONE, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the Director of Central Intelligence, and that based on his personal knowledge of the affairs of the Central Intelligence Agency and on detailed inquiries he caused to be made by the officers within the Central Intelligence Agency who would have knowledge about any relationship Lee Harvey Oswald may have had with that Agency, he certifies that:

Lee Harvey Oswald was not an agent, employee, or informant of the Central Intelligence Agency;

the Agency never contacted him, interviewed him, talked with him, or received or solicited any reports or information from him, or communicated with him, directly or indirectly, in any other manner;

the Agency never furnished him any funds or money, or compensated him, directly or indirectly, in any fashion; and

Lee Harvey Oswald was never associated or connected, directly or indirectly, in any way whatsoever with the Agency.

\_\_\_\_\_  
JOHN A. McCONE (L.S.)

Subscribed and sworn to this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 1964, before me, a Notary Public in and for the State of Virginia, by the said JOHN A. McCONE, who is personally known to me and he duly acknowledged to me the execution of the foregoing instrument.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Notary Public

My commission expires \_\_\_\_\_

(Seal)

CCC1914

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 41 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_



REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

B

6001915

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 42 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

TAB B

Dissemination of Information to the Commission

The DCI should make the general statement that CIA  
has supplied all the information in its possession regarding  
the activities, affiliations and associations of Oswald. Copies  
of the reports and access to original data, in specific in-  
stances, has been given to Commission representatives in  
accordance with the DCI's expressed instruction from the  
inception that the Agency place itself completely at the  
Commission's disposition.

~~SECRET~~

COC1916

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 43 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

C

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

6061917

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 44 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED~~SECRET~~

TAB C

The Problem of Conspiracy

The DCI should note that from the start he had given specific indications to Agency personnel working on the Commission's problems that all information bearing on Oswald's possible relationships with foreign sponsors be made available to the Commission. The DCI may state that he is gratified that his instructions have been carried out diligently and promptly. Among the items of information provided the Commission have been studies on the organization and functions of components of the Soviet police state which are known to include individuals and units charged with the performance of what is euphemistically referred to as "executive action" -- but is, in fact, charged with assassination and sabotage.

The DCI should state that no firm evidence has thus far developed abroad linking known Soviet, Soviet bloc or Cuban intelligence and assassination personnel or organizations with the act committed by Lee Harvey Oswald in Dallas on 22 November 1963.

C001516

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITEDPAGE 45 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~  
*for security reasons on-the-record*  
It is recommended that the DCI handle any questions

from the Commission with respect to the apparently favorable treatment afforded Oswald in the USSR, the withdrawal of his wife, his possible contact there with Soviet State Security (the KGB), and related questions which would tend to establish Oswald's associational link with Soviet intelligence as "technical questions" and, therefore, beyond the scope of present testimony. It is suggested that he rest on the fact that the Commission has taken up these points item-by-item with the technical levels of the Agency and that the ultimate findings and evaluation can only be determined by the Commission.

2

0001913

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITEDPAGE 46 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

D

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0001520

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 47 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED~~SECRET~~TAB DSuggestions for Improving Policy and Organization Regarding  
Presidential Security

Mr. Rankin raised this question directly by letter. In its response, the Agency confined itself to elements relating to its statutory mission. Specifically, it was suggested that:

a. The Secret Service, or Treasury, record with the Office of Central Reference a formal requirement for information relating to Presidential security.

b. It was suggested that, if the Secret Service intended to expand its intelligence coverage of possible threats to the President's security, our experience showed that it would need a specialized organizational component to handle that task within the Secret Service itself.

c. The CIA reply also noted that the Agency was in direct touch with the Secret Service regarding the possible utilization by that agency of our experience in machine data processing. On the public record, it is suggested that the DCI not repeat this detail.

0001921

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITEDPAGE 48 or 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

In his presentation to the Commission after referring to these earlier suggestions, the DCI should state that he desires to take the opportunity to offer a suggestion which he has not tabled heretofore.

The Commission's major problem has been the assessment of personal motive and the relationship of an individual act to possible conspiratorial guidance. Because conspiracy is the core of the problem, the DCI should point out the possibility of legislative action which might be an assistance in preventing recurrence of the national calamity it is investigating. There is an analogy at close hand between the problem of developing in advance information relating to Presidential security and the problem faced by the country a few years ago regarding the clandestine introduction or manufacture in the U.S. of special nuclear material or atomic weapons. The remedy devised at that time was embodied in the Atomic Weapons Reward Act of 15 July 1955 (29 Stat 365, PL. 165, 84th Congress). In essence, the Act established a substantial reward (\$500,000) for information bearing on the Act provided by any person, and in certain instances privileged treatment for such persons -- for example, asylum if they were

2

0001522

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 49 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_



REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

foreigners, was assured. Finally, a Reward Board was created to administer the purposes of the Act.

1) The DCI should suggest that the Commission consider a recommendation for original legislative action designed to induce individuals to furnish information bearing on Presidential security by offering a substantial reward and preferential treatment. The DCI should assure the Commission that he is convinced that the substantial award, the special treatment, and the publicity which would attend the implementing legislation in this matter could represent a significant inducement even to staff officers and personnel of secret associations and state security organs abroad whose functions include assassination and sabotage. It is these individuals who would be privy to plans of executive action. The reward would constitute additional inducement for such individuals to take the risk of making important disclosures.

The suggestion does not rest <sup>alone</sup> on the material elements of reward and special treatment. The DCI can assure the Commission that we are aware that personnel in police state apparatuses charged with the assassination and sabotage functions have repeatedly expressed and, in many cases, acted upon their repugnance for

3

~~SECRET~~

0001923

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITEDPAGE 50 of 212  
COPY NO.

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

such work and for the system which calls upon them to do it.

There are specific cases in the past ten years which document this assertion. Trusted personnel charged with assassination missions have abandoned the mission even without the assurance or the inducement which the DCI is here proposing.

In making this suggestion,<sup>2)</sup> the DCI may go one step further in advising the Commission to suggest that the Commission examine the advisability of legislation making it a Federal offense to conspire and to kill the Chief Executive.<sup>3)</sup> The DCI could also indicate that the Commission might desire to suggest that any legislation along these lines should be enlarged to include conspiracy to kill any Federal official in the President's personal circle of official associates directly concerned with national security affairs. (The Agency's Legal Counsel states that draft bills have been considered on the Hill.)

4

0001924

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 51 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

## ATOMIC WEAPONS REWARDS ACT

Act of July 15, 1955 (69 Stat. 365, P.L. 165, 84th Cong.)—Atomic Weapons Rewards Act of 1955:

"Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That this Act may be cited as the 'Atomic Weapons Rewards Act of 1955'.

"Sec. 2. Any person who furnishes original information to the United States—

"(a) leading to the finding or other acquisition by the United States of any special nuclear material or atomic weapon which has been introduced into the United States, or which has been manufactured or acquired therein contrary to the laws of the United States, or

"(b) with respect to an attempted introduction into the United States or an attempted manufacture or acquisition therein of any special nuclear material or atomic weapon, contrary to the laws of the United States, shall be rewarded by the payment of an amount not to exceed \$500,000.

"Sec. 3. An Awards Board consisting of the Secretary of the Treasury (who shall be the Chairman), the Secretary of Defense, the Attorney General, the Director of Central Intelligence, and of one member of the Atomic Energy Commission designated by that Commission, shall determine whether any person furnishing information to the United States is entitled to any award and the amount thereof to be paid pursuant to section 2. In determining whether any person furnishing information to the United States is entitled to an award and the amount of such award, the Board shall take into consideration—

"(a) whether or not the information is of the type specified in section 2, and

"(b) whether the person furnishing the information was an officer or employee of the United States and, if so, whether the furnishing of such information was in the line of duty of that person.

"Any reward of \$50,000 or more shall be approved by the President.

"Sec. 4. If the information leading to an award under section 3 is furnished by an alien, the Secretary of State, the Attorney General, and the Director of Central Intelligence, acting jointly, may determine that the entry of such alien into the United States is in the public interest and, in that event, such alien and the members of his immediate family may receive immigrant visas and may be admitted to the United States for permanent residence, notwithstanding the requirements of the Immigration and Nationality Act.

"Sec. 5. The Board established under section 3 is authorized to hold such hearings and make, promulgate, issue, rescind, and amend such rules and regulations as may be necessary to carry out the purposes of this Act.

"Sec. 6. Any awards granted under section 3 of this Act shall be certified by the Awards Board and, together with the approval of the President in those cases where such approval is required, transmitted to the Director of Central Intelligence for payment out of funds appropriated or available for the administration of the National Security Act of 1947, as amended.

"Sec. 7. As used in this Act—

"(a) The term 'atomic energy' means all forms of energy released in the course of nuclear fission or nuclear transformation.

"(b) The term 'atomic weapon' means any device utilizing atomic energy, exclusive of the means for transporting or propelling the device (where such means is a separable and divisible part of the device), the principal purpose of which is for use as, or for development of, a weapon, a weapon prototype, or a weapon test device.

"(c) The term 'special nuclear material' means plutonium, or uranium enriched in the isotope 233 or in the isotope 235, or any other material which is found to be special nuclear material pursuant to the provisions of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954.

"(d) The term 'United States,' when used in a geographical sense, includes Puerto Rico, all Territories and possessions of the United States and the Canal Zone; except that in section 4, the term 'United States' when so used shall have the meaning given to it in the Immigration and Nationality Act."

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0001925

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 52 of 212  
COPY NO.

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

E

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0001926

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 53 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED~~SECRET~~TAB EMexican and Cuban Phases of Oswald's Activity

Extensive information has been made available to the Commission by CIA on the working level regarding Oswald's activity in Mexico City in September and October 1963. The Commission Staff has been in detailed communication and conversation with the Agency working levels both in headquarters and in Mexico City. Within the past week, significant information has been developed by CIA regarding the relationship with Oswald of certain Cuban intelligence personnel in Mexico City and the reaction in Havana within the Cuban Intelligence Service to the news of the assassination of President Kennedy. The Commission Staff is in the course of being briefed on the Cuban aspect.

It is suggested that the DCI handle any question on the Mexican and Cuban phases of Oswald's activity as "technical questions" which members of the Commission can develop from or through their own staff with the appropriate component of the Agency. This would be entirely consistent with the DCI's overall assurance that he has instructed his personnel to place their information completely at the Commission's disposition.

0001927

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITEDPAGE 54 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

10 April 1964

<sup>shown</sup>  
MATERIAL FROM P-8593 ~~PA~~SED TO WARREN COMMISSION:

Station OSWALD File

1. English translations of calls made by OSWALD to Russian Embassy:  
27 Sep 63 (1037); 27 Sep 63 (1626); 27 Sep 63 (1605); 28 Sep 63 (1151);  
1 Oct 63 (1031); 1 Oct 63 (2); 3 Oct 63 (3).
2. English translation of conversation between President Dorticos in Havana and Cuban Amb Joaquin Hernandez Armas in Mexico on 26 Nov 63.
3. English translation of conversation between Cuban Am to Mexico and President Dorticos in Havana on 26 Nov 63.

Document Number

653-828

for FOIA Review on:

JUN 1976

File: P-8593

36

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
APR 10 1964	
FBI - NEW YORK	
RECEIVED	
APR 10 1964	
FBI - NEW YORK	

RECORD COPY

TX-1892  
201-289248  
10 APR. 64

0001928

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 55 of 212  
COPY NO.

# D2562

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

XAAZ-36307

15 MAY 1964

MEMORANDUM FOR: Mr. J. Lee Rankin  
General Counsel  
President's Commission on the  
Assassination of President Kennedy

SUBJECT: Role of the Cuban Intelligence Service  
in Processing Visa Applicants; Reaction  
of that Service to the Assassination of  
President Kennedy

1. Within the very recent period, this Agency has established contact with a well-placed individual who has been in close and prolonged contact with ranking officers of the Cuban Direccion General de Inteligencia (Directorate General of Intelligence - DGI). His knowledge of DGI activities, techniques and personalities is direct and profound. This Agency has queried him in detail on possible contacts between Lee Harvey OSWALD and the DGI prior to the assassination of President Kennedy. A report on the information thus obtained is attached to this memorandum.

2. This source is highly sensitive and of great, immediate operational significance to this Agency. The national counterintelligence interest abroad requires, therefore, that access to the attached report, as knowledge of the existence of this source be restricted, for the present at least, to yourself and a minimum number of your staff.

Document Number 697-294  
for FOIA Review on JUN 1975

DATE	10/1/64
BY	10/1/64
FOR	10/1/64
FILE	10/1/64
INDEX	10/1/64
SEARCHED	10/1/64
SERIALIZED	10/1/64
FILED	10/1/64

Downgraded to ~~SECRET~~  
by authority of ~~38 CFR~~  
date ~~27 OCT 1975~~

104-10268-10001  
209 2007114-10001

201-289248

0001523

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 56 of 212  
COPY NO.

#D 2563

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

3. An appropriate sensitivity indicator has been affixed to this memorandum and the attached report.

4. A copy of this memorandum with its attachment has been forwarded to the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

(Signed) Richard Helms

Richard Helms  
Deputy Director for Plans

Attachment

Distribution:

Orig. & 2 - Addressee

2 - DDP

2 - C/CI/R&A

1 - C/WH/3

1 - CI/R&A/20A

1 - C/CI

Originated By: CI/R&A/Hall:gh

13 May 1964.

Downgraded to  
by authority of  
date

~~SECRET~~

~~\* 305512~~

~~29 OCTOBER 1978~~

-2-

~~SECRET~~

WARNING NOTICE  
SENSITIVE SOURCES AND  
METHODS INVOLVED

0001000

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 57 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_



REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

CCC1931

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 58 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

Attachment

SUBJECT: Lee Harvey OSWALD

The source has no personal knowledge of Lee Harvey OSWALD or his activities and does not know whether OSWALD was an agent of the Direccion General de Inteligencia (DGI) or any other directorate or department of the Cuban government.

He first heard of OSWALD after the assassination of President Kennedy when news media carried OSWALD's name. DGI personnel first commented about the case in his presence one day after lunch, when a group of officers were chatting. Among them was Manuel VEGA Perez who previously had been assigned to the Cuban Consulate in Mexico City, where he was the principal DGI officer. VEGA mentioned that OSWALD had gone to the Cuban Consulate two or three times in connection with a visa application during the time that VEGA was in Mexico.

Whether OSWALD had any contact with VEGA on these occasions is not known to the source. However DGI officers stationed in consulates customarily interview visa applicants to determine if they are DGI agents. If applicants are identified as agents, their travel is expedited. Otherwise, they are usually told to come back in a few days. During the interim applicants' names are submitted to Havana for further checking and instructions. According to the source, OSWALD may have been interviewed by VEGA or his assistant, Rogelio RODRIGUEZ Lopez, but this is strictly conjecture on his part. The source does not know Silvia DURAN or anything pertinent about her except that she was present in the Cuban Embassy in Mexico in March 1964.

After the news of the assassination of President Kennedy reached the DGI, orders were issued for all DGI components in the country to sort and package all documents according to whether they were "muy secreto" (very secret), "secreto" (secret), or

~~SECRET~~  
Downgraded to \_\_\_\_\_  
by authority of # 365949  
date 29 OCTOBER 1975

~~For release only of the Commission  
Director  
263 250-12345~~

0001532

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 59 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

"Importants" (important). The material, once consolidated, was to be held pending further instructions. All travel by DGI officers was suspended temporarily. In addition, DGI Headquarters' personnel were instructed to remain in their offices or to keep the DGI aware of their whereabouts so that they could be reached immediately. The source does not know the reason for these measures but believes it logical that they were issued because of the possibility that the United States might have taken some type of action against Cuba and the DGI itself. As nearly as he recalls, the DGI files were restored to regular use about 3 December 1963.

Downgraded to ~~SECRET~~  
by authority of ~~235249~~  
date ~~27 OCTOBER 1975~~

-2-

~~WARNING NOTICE  
SENSITIVE SOURCES AND  
METHODS INVOLVED~~

0001933

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 60 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED~~SECRET~~

23 May 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: DC/OPS

SUBJECT : Review of Selected Items in the  
Lee Harvey OSWALD File Regarding  
Allegations of the CASTRO Cuban  
Involvement in the  
John F. KENNEDY Assassination

1. The attached review and summary was written at the express request of Mr. David W. Belin as a follow-up to Question 3 of his letter to the Agency of 15 April 1975.

2. The results - as I have already told him - add nuance, not evidence, to what the Warren Commission and its staff had laid before them in 1964 on this subject. The results of the review are stipulated in paragraph 8 of the Attachment. There seemed to be no reason to attach copies of the case reports from the file to this summary. These can be made available promptly, however, on request. This summary does not deal with press, magazine and books that dealt with the subject during the period after the assassination.

3. My recommendation is to do with this paper as we did with the earlier one requested by Mr. Belin on the unidentified man: let him read the entire summary and then decide how much of it, if any, is pertinent

~~SECRET~~

IMPDET CL BY 055431

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

CCC1834

PAGE 61 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

D 2564

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

2

to his and the Commission's needs and then decide how much will go into the classified record.

~~REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED~~  
Raymond G. Rocca

Attachment

~~SECRET~~

CCC1535

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 62 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED~~SECRET~~

SUBJECT : Review of Selected Items in the  
Lee Harvey OSWALD File Regarding Allega-  
tions of CASTRO Cuban Involvement in the  
John F. KENNEDY Assassination

REFERENCE : Letter by David W. Belin to  
Mr. E. Henry Knoche, 15 April 1975,  
paragraph 3 (Regarding Public Statement  
by CASTRO, etc.), attached

## BACKGROUND

1. On Saturday evening, 7 September 1963,  
Fidel CASTRO appeared at a Brazilian Embassy reception in  
Havana; even more unusual, CASTRO submitted to an informal  
interview by the Associated Press correspondent,  
Daniel HARKER.

2. HARKER's interview reached New York on Sunday,  
8 September, and was in print throughout the country on  
Monday, 9 September. There can be no question from the  
facts surrounding the CASTRO appearance, which had not been  
expected, and his agreement to the interview, that this  
event represented a more-than-ordinary attempt to get a  
message on the record in the United States.

~~SECRET~~

0001936

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITEDPAGE 63 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

3. CASTRO's statements to HARKER covered a range of topics but dealt principally with American political leadership, in particular President KENNEDY, whom he excoriated in extraordinarily provocative fashion ("... KENNEDY is a cretin" ... the BATISTA of his times ... the most opportunistic American President of all time ...").

4. The interview also contained an uncomplimentary reference to Senator GOLDWATER and Cuban delay in signing the limited nuclear test ban. There were differences in the replay by United States newspapers like the New York Times and Washington papers, with a result that CASTRO's "message" was significantly modulated.

5. In New Orleans, where Lee Harvey OSWALD resided until the middle of September 1963, the HARKER story appeared in the principal morning paper, the Times-Picayune, on Monday, 9 September, page 7, under a three-column headline: "CASTRO Blasts Raids on Cuba. Says U.S. Leaders Imperiled by Aid to Rebels." The story followed under the HARKER by-line:

"Havana (AP) - Prime Minister Fidel Castro said Saturday night: 'U.S. leaders would be in danger if they helped in any attempt to do away with leaders of Cuba.'

2

~~SECRET~~

0001537

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 64 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

"Bitterly denouncing what he called recent U.S. prompted raids on Cuban territory, Castro said: 'We are prepared to fight them and answer in kind. U.S. leaders should think that if they are aiding terrorist plans to eliminate Cuban leaders, they themselves will not be safe.'

"... World affairs ... seemed to be entering a more peaceful climate a few days ago, but now this trend has changed with attacks.

"The U.S. is always ready to negotiate and make promises which later it will not honor. This has happened to promises made during the October crisis. They have been broken as can be seen with new attacks.

"But I warn this is leading to a very dangerous situation that could lead to a worse crisis than October's ..."

6. The New York Times, in its coverage on 9 September, used a UPI wire service report which omitted any reference to the warning and threat which gave the interview its real significance. The Washington Post did an abbreviated re-write (9 September, page A-7) which, similarly, omitted any reference to the main thrust of CASTRO's remarks.

~~SECRET~~

0001938

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 65 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_



REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

The Evening Star of Washington, D. C., printed the HARKER story nearly in its entirety in the second section of the paper (9 September 1963, page B-4) and reworded the content of the HARKER interview, placing the emphasis on the political aspects - in particular, highlighting the GOLDWATER elements and burying CASTRO's warning to the United States leadership in the middle of the piece.

7. There is no evidence in the files on the KENNEDY assassination that this CASTRO interview was considered in following up leads or in dealings with the Warren Commission and its staff although Mexico Station specifically directed Headquarters attention to the AP story very shortly after the Dallas killing. More important, the interview appears to have been forgotten in the contemporary political consideration of relations with CASTRO's Cuba. There is no evidence in the Agency records, either, that the Warren Commission staff itself pursued the implication of the CASTRO interview in dealing with the conspiracy hypothesis. There is no evidence in the files that anything along these lines was stated by any other Cuban leader before the assassination.

8. The purpose of this review, undertaken at Mr. Belin's request, is to reconsider Lee Harvey OSWALD's activity on the assumption that as an avid newspaper reader - which we know from testimony of Marina OSWALD and others - he read the CASTRO warning and threat as reported above. The results

~~SECRET~~

CCC1939

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 66 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

of the review, admittedly heavily using the 20—20 quality of hindsight, may be stipulated in summary:

a. There is no increment of credible evidence, applying this phrase strictly, of Soviet and/or Cuban political, intelligence or security service involvement in the assassination to what was developed and considered by the Warren Commission and its staff. The Commission's finding that

Lee Harvey OSWALD was the killer of President KENNEDY and Officer TIPPIT and did them in alone and of his own determination stands.

b. "Credible evidence" that would upset or significantly modify this judgement did (and does) not exist in Washington. But such evidence could exist in Moscow and/or Havana, whose voluntary inputs to the Warren Commission were minimal in quantity and quality, designed to cover up any admissions of knowledge of, or connection with, OSWALD which might be related directly or indirectly with the assassination. Therefore, the belief that there was Soviet and/or Cuban (KGB and/or DGI) connection with OSWALD will persist and

5

~~SECRET~~

CGC1940

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 67 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

grow until there has been a full disclosure by these governments of all elements of OSWALD's handling and stay in the Soviet Union and his contacts in Mexico City. The Warren Commission report should have left a wider "window" for this contingency. That, indeed, was the opinion at the working level, particularly in the counterintelligence component in the CIA in 1964. As was indicated by Mr. Helms in his testimony before the Warren Commission, CIA would continue to regard this aspect of the OSWALD case as still open.<sup>1</sup>

c. In the absence of additional or new elements of "credible" evidence, there are "nuances" in the record that emerge as noteworthy, in the light of other conclusions. These are reviewed and summarized below, item-by-item.

d. CASTRO's warning and threat of 7 September 1963 - if OSWALD did indeed read

<sup>1</sup> Investigation of the Assassination of President John F. KENNEDY. Hearings Before the President's Commission on the Assassination of President KENNEDY (Washington, 1964), hereafter cited as Hearings, Vol. V., pp. 120 - 129. See especially p. 124 - "Mr. Helms: 'Yes. I would assume the case could never be closed.'"

6

~~SECRET~~

0001941

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 68 of 212  
COPY NO.

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

it in New Orleans - must be considered of great significance in the light of the pathological evolution of OSWALD's passive/aggressive makeup after his attempt to kill General WALKER early in April 1963 and his identification with Fidel CASTRO and the Cuban revolution which is directly traceable as far back as his Marine Corps service in El Toro, California. CASTRO's warning and threat, given to AP correspondent HARKER, irrespective of whether there was any formal mandate, or even security service contact with OSWALD by the Cubans or the Russians - was an act of singular irresponsibility and under no circumstances was excusable as retorsion for what the Cuban emigres were doing during the summer of 1963.

CUBAN CONTACT WITH LEE HARVEY OSWALD, SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA, EARLY 1959 (?)

9. The testimony of Nelson DELGADO contains an assertion of germinal significance to any review of the background of Lee Harvey OSWALD's feeling toward and relations with CASTRO's Cuba. DELGADO was probably the closest peer group

~~SECRET~~

0001942

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 69 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

member to OSWALD during his specialist training period at El Toro Marine Corps Base December 1958 - September 1959. The Warren Commission Report takes note of this:

"OSWALD told DELGADO that he was in touch with Cuban diplomatic officials in this country, which DELGADO at first took to be 'one of his lies, but later believed.'"<sup>2</sup>

10. Actually DELGADO's testimony says a lot more of possible operational significance than is reflected by the language of the report, and its implications do not appear to have been run down or developed by investigation. Thus, the record of the beginning of OSWALD's relationship with the Cubans starts with a question mark.

11. The period was one of transition in U.S. - Cuban relations after CASTRO's takeover. OSWALD, and DELGADO at the outset, were CASTRO supporters, OSWALD being particularly keen about how to get to the island. DELGADO testified:

"... I didn't know what to tell him, so

I told him the best thing that I know was to

<sup>2</sup>

Report to the President's Commission on the Assassination of President John F. KENNEDY (Washington, 1964), hereafter cited as Report, p. 687.

~~SECRET~~

0001943

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 70 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED~~SECRET~~

get in touch with a Cuban Embassy, you know. But at that time that I told him this - we were on friendly terms with Cuba, you know, so this wasn't no subversion or malintent, you know. I didn't know what to answer him. I told him to see them.

"After a while he told me he was in contact with them ...

" ... I seen this envelope in his footlocker, wall-locker, and it was addressed to him, and they had an official seal on it, and as far as I could recollect that was mail from Los Angeles, and he was telling me there was a Cuban Consul. And just after he started receiving these letters - you see, he would never go out, but stay near the post all the time ...

" ... he had one visitor after he started receiving letters he had one visitor. It was a man, because I got the call from the MP guard shack, and they gave me a call that OSWALD had a visitor at the front gate. This man had to be a civilian, otherwise they would have let him in. So I had to find somebody to relieve OSWALD, who was on guard, to go down

9

~~SECRET~~

0001944

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITEDPAGE 71 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED~~SECRET~~

there to visit with this fellow, and they spent about an hour and a half, two hours, talking, I guess, and he came back. I don't know who the man was or what they talked about, but he looked nonchalant about the whole thing when he came back. He never mentioned who he was, nothing.

"Mr. LIEBELER: How long did he talk to him, do you remember?

"Mr. DELGADO : About an hour and half, two hours ...

"Mr. LIEBELER: You never asked OSWALD who this fellow was that he talked to?

"Mr. DELGADO : No. No ...

"Mr. LIEBELER: Did you connect this visit that OSWALD had at that time with the Cuban Consulate?

"Mr. DELGADO : I did; because I thought it funny for him to be receiving a caller at such a late date - time. Also, up to this time he hardly ever received mail; in fact he seldom received mail from home because I made it a policy, I used to pick up the mail for our unit and distribute it to the guys in there, and very seldom did I ever see one for him. But every so often, after he started to get in contact with

10

~~SECRET~~

0001945

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITEDPAGE 72 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED~~SECRET~~

these Cuban people, he started getting letter pamphlets and newspapers ...

" ... and he also started receiving letters, you know, and no books, maybe pamphlets, you know, little like church, things we get from church, you know, but it wasn't a church.

"Mr. LIEBELER: Were they written in Spanish, any of them, do you know?

"Mr. DELGADO : Not that I can recall, no.

"Mr. LIEBELER: Did you have any reason to believe that these things came to OSWALD from the Cuban Consulate?

"Mr. DELGADO : Well, I took it for granted that they did after I seen the envelope, you know ... something like a Mexican eagle, with a big, impressive seal, you know. They had different colors on it, red and white; almost looked like our colors, you know. But I can't recall the seal. I just knew it was in Latin, United, something like that. I couldn't understand. It was Latin.

"Mr. LIEBELER: You don't know for sure whether it was from the Cuban Consulate?

11

~~SECRET~~

C001946

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITEDPAGE 73 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_



REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

"Mr. DELGADO : No. But he had told me prior, just before I found that envelope in his wall locker, that he was receiving mail from them, and one time he offered to show it to me, but I wasn't much interested because at the time we had work to do, and I never did ask to see that paper again, you know.

"Mr. LIEBELER: Did he tell you what his correspondence with the Cuban Consulate was about?

"Mr. DELGADO : No, he didn't.

"Mr. LIEBELER: Did he ever indicate to you that it had to do with the conversations that you had about going over to Cuba?

"Mr. DELGADO : No. The only thing he told me was that right after he had this conversation with the Cuban people was that he was going to - once he got out of the service - he was going to Switzerland ... "3

12. OSWALD's application to Albert Schweitzer College, Churwalden, Switzerland, was dated 19 March 1959, and he was presumably to report there on 20 April 1960. Destined for discharge from the Marines on 7 December 1959, OSWALD

<sup>3</sup> Hearings, Volume VIII, pp. 241 - 243.

12

~~SECRET~~

0001947

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 74 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

accelerated his exit on grounds of family hardship in early September 1959. On 27 September 1969 he was issued a U.S. passport valid for travel - among other places to Cuba and the USSR. He entered the Soviet Union from Finland on 15 October 1959.

13. DELGADO's testimony has the cast of credibility. Granting that, it is of basic importance to focus attention on the male visitor who contacted OSWALD at El Toro Camp and talked with him for between one and a half to two hours. The event was unique in DELGADO's recollections, and actually there is nothing like it - on the record - in everything else we know about OSWALD's activity in the United States before or after his return to the United States. The record reflects no identification of the El Toro contact. DELGADO's presumption is that he was from the Cuban Consulate in Los Angeles. Assuming that, the questions are: Who was it, and was there reporting from Los Angeles to Washington and Havana that could, in effect, represent the opening of a Cuban file on OSWALD?

"PREDICTION" OF PRESIDENT KENNEDY'S DEMISE BY COMMUNIST PARTY CELL LEADER MORSE BENITEZ ZABOLA, 1962

14. Guatemala Station forwarded to Headquarters on 9 January 1964 a duplicate copy of a raw report by a

<sup>4</sup> U.S./Cuban diplomatic and consular relations were severed on 3 January 1961.

13

~~SECRET~~

CCC1948

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 75 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

penetration dated 5 January 1962 describing events at the yearly Communist Party cell meeting. The cell leader, Morse BENITEZ Zabola, said that the Communist Party in Guatemala should form a single new party; "We need not preoccupy ourselves over the politics of President KENNEDY because we know, according to prognostications, that he will die within the present year, 1962."

15. This report apparently had been discounted when it was received and was not forwarded until the Station re-reviewed all of its material after the assassination. The file reflects no follow-up or formal dissemination of the report.

ENIGMATIC THREATS BY CUBAN THIRD SECRETARY IN THE HAUSE, <sup>64</sup>  
7 NOVEMBER 1963

16. A sensitive liaison source, reporting after the KENNEDY assassination, indicated that at the Soviet reception on 7 November 1963, the Cuban Third Secretary, Ricardo L. SANTOS Pesa, discussed the recent refugee raids on Cuba and their implications with a host-country diplomat, concluding with the admonition: "... Just wait and you will see what we can do. It will happen soon." Asked to be more specific what would happen soon, SANTOS replied: "Just wait, just wait."

17. These data were passed to the Warren Commission by memorandum, 31 March 1964. SANTOS was reported to have

14

~~SECRET~~

0001943

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 76 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

a history of medical instability and was summarily recalled from The Hague reportedly for reasons related to that fact in April 1966.

INTERCEPTED CONVERSATION OF CUBAN EMBASSY EMPLOYEE,  
LUISA CALDERON, AFTERNOON OF 22 NOVEMBER 1963

18. At 1730 Mexico City time, about five hours after the KENNEDY assassination, a Cuban Embassy employee named "Luisa" received a telephone call in Spanish from an unidentified male outside.

19. The caller asked her if she had heard the latest news. "Luisa" jokingly replied: "Yes, of course, I knew it almost before KENNEDY ... Imagine, one, two, three and now, that makes three [she laughs], what barbarians! ..."

20. Both speakers agreed on the seriousness of the assassination. "Luisa" also stated that the party at Silvia DURAN's had been called off so it would not appear that they were celebrating the death of KENNEDY, nor would they have the party coincide with the burial. Finally she said she planned to move that night into the house of a doctor (a woman's friend).

21. Latin hyperbole? Boastful ex post facto suggestion of foreknowledge? This is the only item in the intercept coverage of the Cubans and Soviets after the assassination that contains the suggestion of foreknowledge or expectation.

15

~~SECRET~~

GOC1950

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 77 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

22. The tenuous, enigmatic character of the conversation is sharply highlighted by the following facts:

a. "Luisa" was probably identical with Luisa CALDERON, a cadre worker of the Cuban Directorate General of Intelligence (DGI).  
She returned to Cuba in 1964 and was reported to be working in DGI Headquarters.

b. The DGI element in Mexico City in the fall of 1963 was headed by Alfredo MIRABEL Diaz who had arrived on 2 September 1963, formally as the replacement of the Consul Eusebio AZCUE (departed 19 November 1963). The deputy DGI chief (and as of June 1964, MIRABEL's successor) was Manuel Engenio VEGA Perez.

c. The "Silvia DURAN" named in Luisa's conversation was the receptionist, a Mexican national, who dealt with OSWALD during his known visits on 27 and 28 September to the Cuban installations in connection with a Cuban visa application for stay and/or transit. Silvia DURAN is the sole live witness on the record regarding OSWALD's activity in Mexico City. Her testimony, however, in its entirety was taken and presented, solely, by the Mexican Governmental authorities. A direct

16

~~SECRET~~

CGC1851

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 78 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

confrontation with her was requested by the Warren Commission staff but rejected by the Mexican authorities. It is from Silvia DURAN's statements that it was learned that OSWALD became engaged in a personal altercation on 27 September with Eusebio AZCUE.

d. Manuel Engenio VEGA Perez, alias Marcos, and his DGI assistant, Rogelis RODRIGUEZ Lopez, were positively identified in February 1964 by two independent sources as engaged in the active development and facilitation of the training of Nicaraguan agents for the purpose of assassinating General Anastasio SOMOZA. VEGA, it is established, was on post in Mexico City during OSWALD's stay there 27 September - 2 October 1963. He left Mexico City on 3 November 1963 for Havana. It was reliably reported about VEGA that all individuals going to Cuba, legally or illegally, had to pass through him first. He took the biographical data and sent it to Cuba for name checking, a procedure which normally took fifteen days.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>5</sup> For example, VEGA would have handled the details of the visa action and facilitation of the trip to Cuba via Mexico City from 28 December 1962 - 21 January 1963 that

~~SECRET~~

0001952

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

23. There is no evidence in the OSWALD case file that Silvia DURAN was subjected to a systematic elicitative interrogation that would have related her dealings with OSWALD, known or confirmed by intercept, with the data held on the Cuban DGI, its personalities and methods, in Mexico City. Was it normal for a case like OSWALD's, considering the fuss he allegedly made with AZCUE, for the applications to be handled solely by a local employee and a lame duck like AZCUE whose slot had been taken by the DGI chief? Whatever the answer to these questions, they were not asked at the time. And there remains the more fundamental question: accepting the DURAN story on its face, could it have happened without the knowledge and participation of the DGI personnel above cited? This seems unlikely especially because VEGA is later cited by a Cuban DGI defector as having stated he was aware OSWALD made several visits to the Cuban Consulate. It is clear CASTRO's overseas intelligence and security service could have more in its files than was surfaced in the DURAN

was taken by Vincent Theodore LEE, the organizer of the Fair Play Committee for Cuba, with which OSWALD established relations from Dallas during the period 19 April - 2 November 1963. There is an overlap between LEE's and VEGA's travels to Havana during that period: VEGA departed Mexico City on 6 January for Havana and returned on 13 February 1963.

18

~~SECRET~~

CCC1953

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 80 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

statements. Coming back to the beginning: "Luisa" -  
Luisa CALDERON - as a member of the DGI unit in Mexico City -  
could very well have known something that would make what  
she said to her unidentified caller less a matter of  
boastful self-indulgence than was assumed at the time.

SILVIA DURAN, SUBSTANTIAL AMPLIFICATION OF ADMISSION OF  
ALLEGED PERSONAL RELATIONS WITH LEE HARVEY OSWALD, 1967

24. The defects viewed in hindsight of the Silvia DURAN  
testimony already have been suggested above. (In that  
context of criticism it would also be relevant to reconsider  
the implications of the two intercepted telephone conversations  
on 26 November 1963 between the Cuban President, DORTICOS,  
and his Ambassador to Mexico, Joaquim Hernandez ARMAS,  
because the thrust of the conversation dealt with the ques-  
tion whether Silvia DURAN had been asked about [or been  
offered?] money payments by her Mexican interrogators.)

25. As of September 1964 Silvia DURAN gave up her  
Cuban Embassy job. In the spring of 1967 Silvia DURAN  
was reported by a reliable and sensitive source to have  
cut all relations with Cubans. Her account, in 1967, of  
how she had been taken into custody and had been interrogated  
after the assassination repeated what was already known.  
She added, under circumstances that did not permit the  
source to challenge or elicit corroborative detail, that

19

~~SECRET~~

0001954

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 81 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_



REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

she had gone out with OSWALD during his stay in Mexico City and claimed she had sexual relations with him.

ALLEGATIONS OF CONSPIRATORIAL CONTACT BETWEEN OSWALD AND CUBAN GOVERNMENT AGENTS WITH PARTICULAR REFERENCE TO ALLEGATIONS OF (A) ELENA GARRO DE PRAZ AND (B) OSCAR CONTRERAS

26. As the Warren Commission reported, "Literally dozens of allegations of a conspiratorial contact between OSWALD and agents of the Cuban Government have been investigated ..."<sup>6</sup> Among these cases, which consumed hundreds of CIA man-hours in Headquarters and abroad and similarly the FBI in the United States - was the Nicaraguan walkin in Mexico City, 26 November 1963, Gilberto ALVARADO Ugarte.

27. The ALVARADO case is taken up in detail by the Warren Commission under the cryptograph "D."<sup>7</sup> ALVARADO claimed that he had seen an American, whom he identified as OSWALD, receive money some time in mid-September 1963 in a meeting in a patio of the Cuban Consulate in

<sup>6</sup> See Report, p. 305 ff. Some of the others were the cases of Ylario ROJAS Villeneuve in Cozumel and Guadalupe, the allegations of Enrique Ruedolo GONGORA in New York City, the claims of Robert Edward GALLANT, Santa Clara Prison Farm, California, and the allegations of Ray DOBKIN's.

<sup>7</sup> See Report, pp. 307 - 308.

20

~~SECRET~~

0001955

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 82 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

Mexico city. ALVARADO's story was ultimately broken by the use of the polygraph, on which ALVARADO showed evidences of deception, and by bringing ALVARADO's former Nicaraguan security service control into the case.

28. The ALVARADO story is a particularly acute representation of the difficulties that beset the evaluation of observed evidence: certain elements of the story persist despite the impeachment of its major premises. ALVARADO, whose account did not become public until the release of the Warren Report in October 1964, talked consistently of the presence in the Cuban Consulate transaction of a Negro with red-dyed hair.

29. Elena GARRO de Paz is a mercurial, articulate and socially prominent Mexican writer, married, but separated from a high-ranking Mexican foreign service official. She is also the cousin of Horacio DURAN, the husband of Silvia DURAN, cited above. There is a strong personal antipathy between the two women descending from Elena GARRO's trenchant anti-Communism.

30. From October 1964 until his retirement in the fall of 1969, a U.S. Embassy officer, Charles THOMAS, persistently kept up a stream of reports and memoranda reflecting GARRO's account of what had actually gone on between OSWALD, the Cubans and in particular Silvia DURAN in September 1963, including a repeated reference to a Negro with red-dyed hair.

21

~~SECRET~~

0001956

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 83 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

31. The first reference to the GARRO story was noted in a Mexico Station Memorandum of Record dated 12 October 1964:

"Elena GARRO [said] that she and her daughter were invited to a party at the Cuban Embassy during the period she now finds out that OSWALD was here in Mexico prior to the assassination. She was invited by a Mexican secretary in the Cuban Embassy whose husband is a cousin of the GARRO family [Horacio DURAN]. At the party she saw three Gringos, not drinking, not mixing and more or less just standing around together like three bumps on a log. They were so obviously out of place that she asked someone in the Cuban Embassy about them and was told that 'they were just passing through.' She claims that on the way these three Gringos stood out she took a good look at them as did her daughter. When the assassination occurred and OSWALD's picture was spread into the newspapers, both Elena and her daughter immediately said that he was one of the three Gringos 'without a doubt' at the party."

32. GARRO repeated her story to Mr. Charles William THOMAS, an Embassy political officer on 10 December 1965:

22

~~SECRET~~

0001857

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 84 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

In September 1963 after her return from abroad, she went to a party, accompanied by her daughter, at the home of Ruben DURAN [emphasis added]. Ruben is one of the two brothers of Horacio DURAN, who married her cousin. She met OSWALD and two other young Americans. At the party she was discouraged from talking with him. Other guests were the Cuban Consul AZCUE, General Clark FLORES, Silvia DURAN, who she later learned was OSWALD's mistress while he was here, Emilio CARBALLIDO and a Latin American Negro man with red hair [emphasis added]. In November 1963 when the identity of the assassin became known, she and her daughter went to the Cuban Embassy and shouted "assassins" and other insults at the staff there.<sup>8</sup> Shortly afterward, she and her daughter were visited by a friend, Manuel CARVILLO [or CALVELLO], then an official in the Secretariat of the Gobernacion and were told he had orders to escort them to a small and obscure hotel in the center of town. They were kept there for eight days under pretext that they were in danger. When she told CARVILLO she wanted to go to the American Embassy and explain what she knew of OSWALD, she was told that the American Embassy was full of Communist spies.

33. On 25 December 1965 and 9 January 1966 in further interviews with THOMAS, GARRO and her daughter elaborated and

<sup>8</sup> These particulars were not confirmed by independent observers at the time.

23

~~SECRET~~

0001956

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 85 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

in certain particulars significantly modified her earlier account. She also acknowledged that she and her daughter had been interviewed by Embassy officers to whom she claimed they did not give a very complete story because the Embassy officers did not appear to give much credence to anything they said.

34. It developed that GARRO and daughter had been interviewed by the Legal Attache on 17 and 24 November 1964. Her information had been similar to what she claimed in her account to THOMAS but had not been substantiated by inquiries. The FBI representative therefore considered the matter closed and reaffirmed his disengagement from the matter to the CIA Chief of Station on 27 December 1964 and to the Ambassador on 25 February 1965.

35. The Embassy Political Officer, however, persisted in his interviews and reporting. In a memorandum of conversation, 13 July 1966, GARRO identified the place to which she had been taken by CALVILLO as the Hotel Vermont. (This detail checked out affirmatively. GARRO was at the Hotel 23 - 24, 25 - 27 and 28 - 30 November 1963.) In another, on 7 February 1967, GARRO's continuing vicissitudes with the Cubans are set out. And finally, upon THOMAS's retirement from U.S. Government service, the Department of State, at his request, 28 August 1969, disseminated to CIA and FBI a compilation of his reporting of the Elena GARRO interviews.

24

~~SECRET~~

0001953

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 86 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

36. There the matter rests. GARRO's chronology of the events she described as having occurred in the Mexican capital in September 1963 never coincided with the confirmed data of OSWALD's presence there. Her story, however, had two points congruent with allegations by others regarding OSWALD:

a. ALVARADO's allegations regarding the Negro with the red-dyed hair [this detail could, however, have been gleaned from the Warren Commission's published account of the "D" case] and

b. Silvia DURAN's later claim of intimate personal contacts with OSWALD.

37. Beginning in March and amplified in May 1967, the American Consul, Benjamin J. RUYLE, in Tampico developed a contact with a local Mexican journalist, Oscar CONTRERAS Lartigue, who claimed to have encountered OSWALD with other pro-CASTRO students at the University of Mexico campus in September 1963.

38. In June 1969 CONTRERAS was interviewed by a CIA officer:

He studied law at the University of Mexico for three years, approximately 1960 - 1964. He belonged to a clandestine pro-CASTRO revolutionary group at the University. Regarding the OSWALD

25

~~SECRET~~

0001880

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 87 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED~~SECRET~~

case he was extremely cautious and although he was not able or willing to give dates and names, he said OSWALD visited the University of Mexico campus shortly after the Cuban Embassy refused him a visa to visit Cuba. OSWALD made inquiries regarding pro-Cuban revolutionary groups at the University and was directed to CONTRERAS and his friend. OSWALD met CONTRERAS and four other persons as they came out of a round-table discussion held in the faculty of Philosophy. OSWALD told the group it was urgent that he visit Cuba and the Cuban Embassy had denied him a visa. He requested aid from CONTRERAS' group. CONTRERAS and others mistrusted OSWALD because they felt he was a CIA provocation. The group allowed OSWALD to accompany them the rest of the day, that night and part of the next day. OSWALD was very introverted and appeared to be slightly crazy. OSWALD made no mention of an assassination plot but kept bringing up the point he had to travel to Cuba immediately.

39. At this point, with FBI knowledge, the matter was turned over to the Mexican authorities who determined that CONTRERAS was indeed at the University of Mexico but only

26

~~SECRET~~

CCC1961

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITEDPAGE 88 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

for the years 1959 - 1960 and that he had been publicly associated in protest activity for the Student Revolutionary Bloc (BER) in January 1961. However, the group ceased functioning as such in the middle of 1962, and Oscar CONTRERAS was never one of its leaders. No further work is reflected in the files on the CONTRERAS allegations. Apart from the unsustained claims made by CONTRERAS about his own presence at the University, there is an additional element that undermines the credibility of his account: OSWALD's Spanish, which was barely adequate to get him meals near the hotel, seems hardly likely to have permitted him to carry on for an extended period with a University student group.

27

~~SECRET~~

0001862

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 89 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_



REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

XAAZ-22594  
31 January 1964

MEMORANDUM FOR: Mr. J. Lee Rankin

SUBJECT:

Information Developed by CIA on the  
Activity of Lee Harvey OSWALD in  
Mexico City, 28 September - 3 October 1963  
as Transmitted to Warren Commission

1. I am enclosing a presentation of the information developed by CIA on or about Lee Harvey OSWALD in Mexico City. We have included in this paper only hard, substantive information. Any judgments that are made represent professional evaluations by individuals who have worked over the years as specialists in their fields. Speculation, rumor, and vague detail not directly related to OSWALD's activity have not been included.

2. Pursuant to our discussion on 14 January 1964, I have given the enclosure an appropriate classification. The compromise of this material in its present form would lead directly to the destruction of current sources and methods of this Agency in Mexico and elsewhere.

Document Number 509-803

(signed)

for FOIA Review of

JUN 1976

Richard Helms  
Deputy Director for Plans

Enclosure

Orig & 1 - Addressee w/att ✓  
1 - DDP w/att  
1 - WH/3 (Mr. Whitten) w/att ✓  
1 - SR/CI w/att ✓  
1 - Chief, CI/R&A w/att ✓

CI/RG Rocca/dc/ 31 January 1964

CS COPY

1 ATT.

*See memo to  
CIA dated 10 Feb 1964*

201-289245  
31 Jan 64

0002007

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 134 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

# D 2566

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

WARNING NOTICE  
SENSITIVE SOURCES AND  
METHODS INVOLVED

31 January 1964

104-10268-10001  
104-10268-10001, 21 1.34

Information Developed by CIA on the Activity of  
Lee Harvey OSWALD in Mexico City  
28 September - 3 October 1963

I. OSWALD's Activity in Mexico City

1. On 9 October the CIA Station in Mexico City received the following information from a reliable and proven source:

An American named Lee OSWALD had contacted the Soviet Embassy in Mexico City on Tuesday, 1 October 1963. He had spoken in halting Russian to the Soviet Embassy guard, Ivan Ivanovich OBYEDKOV, to whom he said he had visited the Embassy two days earlier, Saturday, 28 September. He asked whether there had been a reply to a telegram that the Consul with whom he had spoken, but whose name he could not recall, had promised to send to Washington. OBYEDKOV had attempted to establish the identity of the Consul with whom OSWALD had talked: if it had been a dark person, then it had probably been KOSTIKOV. OBYEDKOV,

~~SECRET~~

WARNING NOTICE  
SENSITIVE SOURCES AND  
METHODS INVOLVED

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0002008

PAGE 135 of 212  
COPY NO.

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

WARNING: NO  
SENSITIVE SOURCES AND  
METHODS INVOLVED

after a check with Soviet Consular personnel, assured  
OSWALD the telegram had been sent to Washington but  
no answer had been received.

The information was forwarded by cable to CIA Headquarters  
the same day it was received.

2. A file check in Washington which is routine in these  
matters revealed the possibility of an identity between the Lee  
OSWALD who had spoken with OBYEDKOV, and presumably  
with KOSTIKOV, and the defector returnee, Lee Harvey OSWALD.\*

3. On 10 October 1963 CIA Headquarters disseminated by  
cable the report in substantially the form and in the detail  
indicated above, in paragraph 1, to the Federal agencies whose  
jurisdictional interests had been established by a review of  
OSWALD's file: the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Depart-

\* The CIA file on Lee Harvey OSWALD was opened on 9 December  
1960 to accommodate biographic information developed by CIA in  
response to an inquiry from the Department of State on a list of  
American defectors in Soviet Bloc countries. OSWALD's was  
among the names in the list. The Department of State inquiry  
was dated 25 October 1960. An interim reply was given by CIA  
on 3 November 1960; a final reply, on 21 November 1960. Until  
early October 1963 the contents of the OSWALD file held by CIA  
consisted entirely of press materials and disseminations  
received from the Department of State, the Federal Bureau of  
Investigation, and the Navy Department.

~~SECRET~~

WARNING: NO  
SENSITIVE SOURCES AND  
METHODS INVOLVED

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0002005

PAGE 136 of 212  
COPY NO.

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

SENSITIVE SOURCES AND  
METHODS INVOLVED

ment of State, the Navy Department, and the Immigration and Naturalization Service. A comment was included in the report noting the likelihood that the subject, Lee OSWALD, was probably identical with the former Marine who had defected to the Soviet Union in 1959. (The report disseminated by CIA in Washington on 10 October also included a physical description of an individual who was believed to have been the OSWALD who had contacted the Soviets in Mexico City. It was subsequently established by investigation that the description did not pertain to OSWALD.)

4. On the same day, 10 October 1963, CIA Headquarters sent a lengthy cable summary to the Mexico City Station of the background information held in the Headquarters' file on OSWALD. An instruction was included for the Mexico City Station to pass the substance of its 9 October report to the local representatives of the same Federal departments and agencies that had been given the information in Washington. This instruction was immediately carried out. In this manner the information on OSWALD's contact with the Soviets on 1 October was passed in Mexico City to the Embassy, the FBI representative, the Naval Attache, and to the office of the Immigration and Naturalization Service. There were no requests from recipients of the report for further information or for follow-up investigation.


WARNING NOTICE  
SENSITIVE SOURCES AND  
METHODS INVOLVED

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0002010

PAGE 137 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_


REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED



5. After the assassination of President Kennedy and the arrest of Lee Harvey OSWALD, an intensive review of all available sources was undertaken in Mexico City to determine the purpose of OSWALD's visit. It was learned that OSWALD had also visited the Cuban Consulate in Mexico City and had talked there with a clerk, a Mexican national, named Silvia DURAN. After the assassination and the publicity about Lee OSWALD, Silvia DURAN had told a number of relatives and friends that she had talked to OSWALD about a Cuban visa. Silvia DURAN and her husband, Horacio DURAN Navarro, were detained and questioned by the Mexican police from 23 to 25 November 1963.

6. After giving some of the details of her own personal background - she is an admitted Leftist sympathizer and had formerly worked for the Mexican-Cuban Institute of Cultural Relations - Silvia DURAN said that when she first heard of the death of President Kennedy she had been depressed and thought the assassin must have been a maniac. When she learned that he was associated with the "Fair Play for Cuba Committee" she did not believe it.

7. She talked about the case to her husband and when she heard the name of Lee OSWALD mentioned, she recognized it as



REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0002011

PAGE 138 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

SECRET  
EXCLUDED

the man who had come to the Consulate about two months before to get a visa to transit Cuba on his way to the USSR. She realized that he had said he was married to a Russian and that he had belonged to the "Fair Play for Cuba" group. She checked her file on him in the Consular archives and from the descriptive data, she was sure it was the same man. He was short, blond, poorly dressed and his face got red when he talked. He was denied a Cuban transit visa because he did not yet have a Soviet visa, and he was told to get the Soviet visa first, but it was noted that this would take about four months. She had asked the Cuban Consul himself, Eusebio AZCUE, to talk to OSWALD and they had an argument when AZCUE urged OSWALD to leave Mexico instead of waiting there. The Consul had phoned the Soviet Consulate and talked to the person handling OSWALD's case, who had said it would take about four months to hear from Moscow about the Soviet visa. The same afternoon, OSWALD had come back again and she had told him the same thing. She gave OSWALD a slip of paper with her name and telephone number on it in case he ever got his Soviet visa. He did not call back, she said.

8. Silvia DURAN's husband, Horacio DURAN Navarro, gave essentially the same story, as he had previously heard it from his wife after the assassination. He had never had any personal contact with OSWALD.

WARNING NOTICE  
SENSITIVE SOURCES AND  
METHODS INVOLVED

6-5-1964

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0002012

PAGE 139 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

~~WARNING NOTICE  
SERIES 10000000  
METHODS INVOLVED~~

9. Silvia DURAN was reinterrogated by the Mexican police from 27 to 29 November. But she did not change or add materially to her story about OSWALD.

10. It is known that the Cuban Ambassador in Mexico, Joaquin HERNANDEZ Armas, reported on the detainment and interrogation of Silvia DURAN by the Mexican authorities. The Cuban Government sent the Mexican Government a stiff note of protest, which the Mexicans rejected.

11. We are reliably informed that on 26 <sup>November</sup> ~~September~~, the day after DURAN's release, Cuban President DORTICOS queried HERNANDEZ about his report. HERNANDEZ confirmed that there had been an altercation between OSWALD and Consul AZCUE. DORTICOS made a persistent but unsuccessful effort to determine from HERNANDEZ whether the Mexican authorities had questioned Mrs. DURAN about money, or thought that the Cubans had paid OSWALD money.

12. The CIA follow-up investigation produced the following confirmed results which have a bearing on Mrs. DURAN's account:

a. 27 September. In mid-afternoon, Silvia DURAN informed the Soviet Embassy that a male American citizen had requested a visa to transit Cuba on his way to the

-6-

~~WARNING NOTICE  
SERIES 10000000  
METHODS INVOLVED~~

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0002013

PAGE 140 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

Soviet Union. She desired to know who the American had talked with at the Soviet Consulate. Also, she indicated that the Cuban Consulate could grant him the visa and arrange immigration details if the concession of a Soviet visa were assured.

On 27 September, Pursuant to her inquiry, Silvia DURAN received a confirmation from the Soviet Embassy that the American had been to the USSR installation. He had shown them a letter from the Soviet Consulate in Washington indicating that he had been long awaiting a visa for himself and his wife to go to the USSR. No answer had come from Washington; however, the waiting period was sometimes four or five months. The American also had a letter attesting that he was a member of a pro-Cuban organization but he had claimed that the Cubans would not give him a visa unless he had already received a Russian visa.

Although the American was still at the Cuban Consulate, Silvia DURAN repeated that she could not give him a transit visa unless a Soviet visa was forthcoming, notwithstanding the American's wish to go to Cuba to wait

-7-

WARNING NOTICE  
SENSITIVE SOURCES AND  
METHODS INVOLVED

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0002014  
PAGE 141 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_



REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

SENSITIVE TO  
REPRODUCTION

there for his Soviet visa. According to DURAN, the American knew no one in Cuba and she intended to appropriately annotate the American's card. The Soviet official echoed her statement that the American was not known.

c. 28 September. Silvia DURAN was again visited at the Cuban Embassy in Mexico City by the American seeking a Cuban transit visa. Mrs. DURAN contacted at least two members of the Soviet Embassy and facilitated a direct conversation between one of the Soviets and the American. Conversing in poor Russian, the American stated that he already had been to the Soviet Consulate and had left an address. The Soviet official replied that he was aware of that. The American suggested that he had not known his address then, and he had gone to the Cuban Embassy to ask for the address, because they had it. The American then acceded to the Soviet official's invitation to come by and give them the address.

d. 1 October. In mid-morning an unidentified individual, speaking broken Russian, contacted the Soviet Military Attache in Mexico City. He said he had been to

-3-

WARNING NOTICE  
SENSITIVE SOURCES AND  
PERSONS INVOLVED

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

6002615

PAGE 142 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

WARNING NOTICE  
SENSITIVE SOURCES AND  
METHODS INVOLVED

the Embassy the previous Saturday (28 September) and had talked with a Consul who had said they would send a telegram to Washington; had there been a reply? He was referred to the Consulate for the information. These additional materials were promptly disseminated in Washington by the CIA to the White House, the Department of State, and the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

c. 1 October. See paragraph 1 above for Lee OSWALD's contact with the Soviet Consulate.

## II. Valeriy Vladimirovich KOSTIKOV

13. It is believed that the Soviet official with whom OSWALD dealt in Mexico City was Consular Attache Valeriy Vladimirovich KOSTIKOV, born in Moscow, 17 March 1933. A photograph of KOSTIKOV is attached. In his letter of 9 November to the Soviet Consulate in Washington, OSWALD wrote about his "meetings with Comrade KOSTIN (sic) of the Soviet Union in Mexico City, Mexico." There is no official of the Soviet Embassy in Mexico City with a name resembling "KOSTIN", other than Consul KOSTIKOV.

14. KOSTIKOV is the senior officer of five Soviet Consular representatives who deal with visas and related

WARNING NOTICE  
SENSITIVE SOURCES AND  
METHODS INVOLVED

000216

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 143 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

47 SECRET

RECORDS OFFICER STAMP

The following action is  
authorized: **DE SENSITIZE**

O : DIRECTOR

FROM : MEXICO CITY

CS COPY

Signed: *G. Hart*

ACTION: C/SR 5

(MR. BAGLEY, SR, MR. REICHARDT, MR. ANDERSON, MR. NOTT)  
COPIES ISSUED AT 0030, 24 NOV 63 (W)

INFO : ADDP, C/CI, C/CI/OPS, C/CI, C/WH2, D/OS 2, VR

Note: If destruction, cite  
reasons and coordinate  
if appropriate.

③

SECRET 240406Z

IMMEDIATE DIR CITE MEXI 7045

REDWOOD LCIMPROVE

REF DIR 84885

CLASSIFICATION REVIEW  
CONDUCTED ON 16 APR 1976  
E/AD IMPDET CL BY 012165

24 Nov 63 IN 67281

See p 24

Tab P

1977 I 5R

PROVIDED BELOW SUFFICIENTLY DEFINITIVE SUMMARY OF LOCAL  
CONTACTS IVAN GAVRILOVICH ALFERIEV (UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED,  
INFO FROM LIENVOY). 18, 19, 23 NOV 60 CONTACTS WITH ENRIQUETA GOMEZ  
OF GOM RE EXTENSION OF COURTESY PERMIT FOR SELF REMAIN IN MEXI.  
10, 11 NOV 60 WITH FNU VARELA RE DELIVERY OF SUBJ'S FURNITURE.  
3 NOV 60 AND 7 MAR 61 WITH JUAN SEDILLO RE INSURANCE SUBJ CAR.  
8 NOV 60 SERGIO DURAN OF NATIONAL CITY BANK OF NEW YORK RE PICKUP  
OF MONEY. 14, 15 NOV HUMBERTO GONZALEZ RE PICKING UP NEW CAR.  
25 -28 NOV SUBJ TREATED AT SANATORIO REFORMA (USUALLY USED BY  
SOVS) BY WELL KNOWN LEFTIST DR. CARLOS NOBLE HOYO WHO USED  
FREQUENTLY BY SOVS. 23 DEC WITH THIRD SEC CUBAN CULTURAL ATTACHE  
TERESA PROENZA RE ARRANGING PRESS CONFERENCE FOR ROLANDO CUSELA  
PRESIDENT OF CUBAN FEU. 18 FEB AND 20 MAR 61 WITH DENTIST DR.  
LESLIE VALERY FOR APPOINTMENT FIX SON'S TEETH. VALERY  
FREQUENTLY USED BY SOVS. 1 MAR ARRANGES MEETING WITH IGNACIO ACOSTA  
LAGUNES, PROMINENT PRO COMMIE AIDE OF GEN LAZARO CARDENAS. 28  
MAR FNU FEMALE MANJARREZ (PHONETIC WHOM MONITOR BELIEVES BE AMERICAN)

Document Number 70-559  
for FOIA Review of APR 1976

D: 200-5-41  
551

SECRET

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

24 Nov 63

Copy No.

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0002017

PAGE 144 of 212  
COPY NO.

#D 2567

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

IN 67281 PAGE 2

TALKS FAMILIARLY WITH SUBJ AND INVITES HIM TO PRESS CONFERENCE-LEG-  
TURE AT HOTEL REFORMA WHERE A CUBAN TO SPEAK. 3 MAY WITH GUADALUPE  
PEREZ MACIA OF GOBERNACION RE OBTAINING (UNDETERMINED) VISA. 5  
JUNE AND 6 JULY WITH OSCAR SANTAELLA, FORD SALESMAN. 7 JUNE WITH  
JUAN GARCIA AND FNU PEREZ OROZCO, DODGE SALESMEN. 2 AUG CALLS  
ROGER OR ROBERT KATZ OF "FRANCE PRESSE" TO INVITE TO SOVEMB PRESS  
CONFERENCE. 10 MAY AND 16 JUNE 1962 CALLS EDMUNDO JARDON OF PRENSA  
LATINA TO GET TOGETHER. 14 JUNE ASKS SPEAK WITH DR. GUILLERMO  
MONTANO ISLAS, PROMINENT MEXICAN PRO COMMIE LEADER WELL KNOWN  
HQS. 22 JUNE HE AND TASS REP ANATOLIY PAVLENKO INVITED TO LUNCHEON  
BY MEXICAN PRESIDENT'S PRESS SEC HUMBERTO ROMERO. 25 SEP IS INVITED  
TO LUNCHEON WITH DR. IGNACIO CHAVEZ, RECTOR AT UNAM. 3 DEC ACCEPTS  
INVITE ATTEND BUFFET FOR PRESS ON BEHALF CARL J. MIDAIL, U.S.  
NEWS AND WORLD REPORT. 23 JAN 63 HORACIO CHANCAQLINI OF UNITED  
NATIONS POSTPONES HIS APPOINTMENT WITH SUBJ. 19 FEB FNU SABINO  
CASRERA OF INSURANCE FIRM RE PAYMENTS. 8 MAR INVITES CANADIAN  
NEWSPAPERMAN JOHN ALIUS TO STAG DINNER. 30 APR REQUESTS APPOINTMENT  
WITH RICARDO POERY CERVANTES, PROMINENT LEFTIST JOURNALIST IN  
CLOSE FREQUENT CONTACT SOVS. 15 AND 17 MAY TALKS WITH NEW YORK  
TIMES REP PAUL KENNEDY WHO INVITES SUBJ DINNER 26 MAY. 18 MAY  
DIRECTOR OF VOZ DE MEXICO AND PCM CENTRAL COMMITTEEMAN MANUEL  
TERRAZAS GUERRERO, ASKS SUBJ FOR SOV FINANCIAL SUBSIDY. 23 MAY  
SUBJ CALLS JOHN RETTIE (SEE MEXI 4743). 14 JUNE CALLS WELL KNOWN  
LEFTIST CLEMENTINA BASSOLS TO INTERVIEW HER. 14 OCT INVITES  
ENRIQUE LOUBET OF EXCELSIOR TO SOVEMB RECEPTION BEHALF COSMONAUTS.

0002018

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 145 of 212  
COPY NO.

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

IN 5/281

PAGE 3

14 OCT INVITES JAVIER SANTOS LORENT OF NOVEDADES TO SAME RECEPTION.  
30 OCT UNSUCCESSFULLY TRIES CONTACT CLEMENTINA BASSOLS. 14 NOV  
TRIES CONTACT RICARDO POERY CERVANTES. 14 AND 15 NOV ARRANGES SEE  
VIRGINIA GOMEZ RIETO, LEFTIST AND PARAMOUR OF POERY.  
S E C R E T

C/S COMMENT: \* REQUESTED INFO RE TRAVEL VALERIY VLADIMIROVICH KOSTIKOV.

201-289248

6002013

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITEDPAGE 146 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE		12-52	
<input type="checkbox"/> INDEX <input type="checkbox"/> NO INDEX <input type="checkbox"/> FILE IN CS FILE NO.		ROUTING	
EXT : 5613		2 4	
DATE : 26 Nov 63		3 5	
TO : THE WHITE HOUSE ATTN: MCGEORGE BUNDY DEPARTMENT OF STATE ATTN: MR. U. ALEXIS JOHNSON FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION		DEFERRED ROUTINE IMMEDIATE	
FROM : <del>SECRETARY</del> CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY			
CONF: C/WH 4			
INFO: DCI, DDP, C/CI-2 VR*			

TO: SECRET/NO FOREIGN DISSEM INFO CITE DIR 85246

SUBJECT: LEE H. OSWALD

ON 26 NOVEMBER, MR. JOSE GUILLERMO AGUIRRE OF MEXICO TOLD THE FOLLOWING SERVICE INFORMATION TO PETER MORAGA, UNITED STATES INFORMATION/OFFICER IN MEXICO CITY:

MARIA RODRIGUEZ DE LOPEZ, SAID A SON IN LAW OF HER, ARNESTO RODRIGUEZ, WHO LIVES IN NEW ORLEANS WAS WELL ACQUAINTED WITH LEE OSWALD. SON IN LAW IS A CUBAN WHO LIVES AT 212 JEFFERSON PARRISH, NEW ORLEANS, TEL: VERNON 5-9658. ARNESTO RODRIGUEZ RUNS A SPANISH LANGUAGE SCHOOL AND IS ANTI CASTRO. ACCORDING TO MARIA RODRIGUEZ DE LOPEZ HER SON IN LAW HAS A TAPED CONVERSATION WITH OSWALD.

Document Number 113-48

for FOIA Review on APR 1976

END OF MESSAGE

CLASSIFICATION REVIEW
CONDUCTED ON 24 MAY 1979
E IMPDET CL BY 0722 08

C/S COMMENT: \* DISSEMINATION APPLICABLE TO RYBAT GPFLOOR CABLES.

D:200-5-41

CS COPY

201-289248  
26 Nov 63

*[Signature]*  
JAMES H. HAN  
C/WH/3

C/WH/3  
COORDINATING OFFICERS

*[Signature]*  
L. H. CANNON  
CWH/A

RELEASING OFFICER

SECRET

GROUP 1  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassification

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.

Copy No.

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0002020

PAGE 147 of 212  
COPY NO.

# D 2568

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

SECRET

DOC. MICRO. SER.  
MAY 15 1975  
MICROFILMED

27 NOV 63

12P DBD 87602

TO : Director  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
Attention: Mr. S. J. Papich

FROM : Deputy Director (Plans)

SUBJECT: Lee H. OSWALD/Soviet Activities in Mexico City,  
13 - 24 November 1963 TO INCLUDE INFO ON  
K. V. KOSTIKOV and I. G. ALFERIEV

SEARCHED	
SERIALIZED	
INDEXED	
FILED	
NOV 13 1963	
FBI - NEW YORK	
RECEIVED	
NOV 13 1963	
U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE	

201-236706  
Consul  
in Mexico  
who may  
have been  
in contact  
of Oswald

1. Forwarded as Attachment A is a resume of the observed activities of Valeriy Vladimirovich KOSTIKOV and Ivan Gavrilovich ALFERIEV as reported by a reliable source in Mexico City. Attention was given to KOSTIKOV because of the indication that he is the consul who interviewed OSWALD on 28 September 1963 (see CSCI-3/773,826, 25 November 1963). ALFERIEV travelled with KOSTIKOV to Northern Mexico during the early part of September 1963. Source reported that during the period under review the observed activities of KOSTIKOV and ALFERIEV appeared to be normal, as did the activities of the entire Soviet Embassy complement.

2. Forwarded as Attachment B is a resume of telephone calls placed to and from the Soviet Embassy on the afternoon of 22 November. Analysis of calls made the morning of 22 November and the morning and afternoon of 23 November revealed no pertinent information.

3. Technical surveillances of the homes of several known and suspected Soviet intelligence officers produced no pertinent information.

4. Forwarded as Attachment C is a list identifying the Soviets whose names appear in Attachments A and B.

5. Because of the extreme sensitivity of the enclosed information, we urge that the source data and the information be given the most secure handling possible. This information is for background use only and may not be disseminated without permission from this Agency.

RECORD COPY

Document Number 187-622  
for FOIA Review on APR 1976

CSCI-3/773,831

CLASSIFICATION REVIEW
CONDUCTED ON 24 MAY 1976
E IMPDET CL BY 61224

SECRET  
NO FOREIGN DISSEM

27 Nov 63

201-289248

60020 21

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 148 of 212  
COPY NO.

D 2569

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~  
~~NO FOREIGN DISSEM~~  
- 2 -

WH/3/Mexico/Terry Ward:mrd

27 November 1963

Distribution:

Orig. & 1 - Addressee  
1 - RI (201-239248)  
1 - CI/LIA  
1 - CI/R&A  
1 - CI/SIG  
1 - SR/CI  
✓ 2 - WH/3/Mexico  
1 - WH/MEXI  
1 - WH/R

BASICS:

MEXI-7050 (IN-67292)  
MEXI-7038 (IN-67253)  
MEXI-7036 (IN-67237)  
MEXI-7034 (IN-67231)  
MEXI-7032 (IN-67198)

NO PROJECT

~~SECRET~~  
~~NO FOREIGN DISSEM~~

6002022

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 149 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_



REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~  
~~NO FOREIGN DISSEM~~

Enclosure A

18 November

0857 - Alferiev enters Embassy alone (Departure not noted)  
1536 - Kostikov enters alone  
1629 - Kostikov departs alone  
1656 - Kostikov enters alone  
1711 - Kostikov departs alone  
1759 - Kostikov enters alone

19 November

1210 - Alferiev enters Embassy alone  
1312 - Alferiev departs with Garmashev  
1352 - Alferiev and Garmashev return  
1415 - Kostikov departs alone  
1533 - Alferiev enters alone (prior departure not noted)  
1653 - Kostikov enters alone

20 November

No coverage

21 November

0852 - Alferiev enters Embassy with wife

~~SECRET~~  
~~NO FOREIGN DISSEM~~

0002023

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 150 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED~~SECRET~~  
NO FOREIGN DISSEM

-2-

0916 - Kostikov enters alone  
0917 - Alferiev departs alone  
0949 - Alferiev enters alone  
1133 - Kostikov departs with Shubin  
1206 - Alferiev departs alone  
1240 - Kostikov enters with Shubin  
1310 - Kostikov departs in car driven by KGB chauffeur Kalinin  
1341 - Kostikov enters with Kalinin  
1353 - Alferiev enters alone  
1427 - Kostikov departs alone  
1630 - Kostikov enters alone

22 November

0853 - Alferiev enters Embassy alone  
0904 - Kostikov enters alone  
1005 - Kostikov has discussion with Shubin  
1016 - Kostikov departs with Shubin  
1028 - Kostikov enters with Shubin  
1030 - Kostikov departs with wife and Shubin  
1250 - Kostikov, wife and Shubin return  
1302-1312 - Kostikov speaks in garden with Kazantsev  
1336 - Kostikov departs with Shubin, Shpakevich, Turygin,  
driven by Kalinin  
1402 - All above return

~~SECRET~~  
NO FOREIGN DISSEM

0002024

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITEDPAGE 151 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~  
~~NO FOREIGN DISSEM~~

-3-

- 1402 - Kostikov talking in garden with Alferiev, Andreyev,  
and Turygin
- 1402 - Kostikov departs in car with family
- 1432 - Alferiev departs alone
- 1436 - Alferiev enters alone
- 1503 - Alferiev departs alone
- 1517 - Alferiev enters alone
- 1539 - Kostikov enters alone

23 November

- 1130 - Kostikov speaks with Kazantsev and Shubin
- 1133 - Kostikov departs with Kazantsev and Shubin
- 1200 - Alferiev enters alone
- 1240 - Kostikov, Kazantsev and Shubin enter
- 1410 - Kostikov plays volleyball with Yatskov, Shubin,  
Kazantsev, Forkhunov, and Straganov
- 1425 - Kostikov speaks to Shubin and Slavnov
- 1430 - Kostikov departs with Shubin and Romarenchenko
- 1440 - Alferiev departs alone

24 November

- 1100 - Alferiev enters Embassy alone
- 1207 - Kostikov enters with wife and Shubin

~~SECRET~~  
~~NO FOREIGN DISSEM~~

0002025

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 152 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~  
~~NO FOREIGN DISSEM~~

1326 - Alferiev departs with Shengalev

1410 - Alferiev enters with Shengalev

1440 - Alferiev, Kostikov, Kazantsev and 2 unidentified  
Soviet visitors depart (A's car)

~~SECRET~~  
~~NO FOREIGN DISSEM~~

0002026

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 153 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~  
~~NO FOREIGN DISSEM~~

Enclosure B

AM 22 November coverage of Soviet Embassy normal.

PM 22 November coverage follows:

1250 - Cuban Consul Alfredo Mirabal Diaz asks to speak with Yatskov. Latter apparently unavailable and Kostikov comes on line with following conversation ensuing familiar form "tu"

KOS: Forgive me for arriving late.

MIR: Did you recover the suitcase?

KOS: Yes

MIR: Then it was arriving on the other trip.

KOS: Yes

MIR: I called to tell you the following, that regarding that matter that we had talked about, to see if we would spend Sunday in Chapultepec Park because my wife is preparing some food to eat there.

KOS: I'm sorry but I've just made plans for another trip and I'm leaving this very day. So please forgive me for not being able to go with you.

MIR: I'd like to talk to Pavel Yatskov.

KOS: Wait a minute, he'll come now.

MIR: If he's busy I'll call him later, but I'll be available for the next half hour at telephone No. 11-28-47.

KOS: O. K.

1334 - Reporter requests statement. Unidentified Soviet male refuses.

1333 - Female implies to Rukharenko that the Soviet Ambassador has said to make no statement.

1443 - Yugoslav Ambassador Vlahov calls and speaks with Soviet Ambassador Bazarov in Russian. Talk briefly about assassination telling each other what they know, which

~~SECRET~~  
~~NO FOREIGN DISSEM~~

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

6002027

PAGE 154 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~  
~~NO FOREIGN DISSEM~~

appears to be only what they got from the radio.

- 1550 - Unidentified woman advises Soviets of assassination.
- 1644 - Unidentified reporter asks for Kazantsev, advised that Kazantsev not in, will be back Monday, 0930-1400.
- 1645 - Unidentified male asks for Mukharensko, told he isn't in.
- 1652 - Unidentified female reporter told Soviet Embassy not working today, Saturday or Sunday.
- 1716 - Reporter calls for statement. None given.
  - Kazantsev calls his residence, personal call.
- 1744 - Reporter told to call back Monday.
- 1750 - Unidentified male asks for Leonov. Not in.
- 1755 - above calls again
  - Reporter told call back Monday.

~~NO FOREIGN DISSEM~~

0002028

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 155 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~  
~~NO FOREIGN DISSEM~~

ATTACHMENT C TO CSCI-3/773,381

1. Ivan Gavrilovich ALFERIEV - Pravda Correspondent
2. Valeriy Vladimirovich KOSTIKOV - Attache Consular Office
3. Aleksey Ivanovich GARMASHEV - Consul
4. Vitaliy Borisovich SHUBIN - Attache
5. Yurly Dmitriyevich KALININ - Chauffeur
6. Boris Aleksandrovich KAZANTSEV - Counselor
7. Vladimir Ivanovich SHEPAKOVICH - Third Secretary
8. Vladimir Ivanovich ANDRIYEV - First Secretary
9. Vladimir Vasilyevich TURGIN - Third Secretary
10. Pavel Antonovich YATSKOV - Attache
11. Vladimir PORZHUNOV - Code Clerk
12. Gennadiy STROGANOV - Radio Operator
13. Nikolay Petrovich SLAVKOV - Code Clerk
14. Vladimir ROMANCHENKO - Second Secretary
15. Lev Fedorovich SHENGALEV - Employee, Commercial Office
16. Oleg Maksimovich NECHIPORENKO - Vice Consul
17. Sergey Semyonovich KUKHARENKO - Second Secretary; Soviet Information Bulletin
18. Nikolay Sergeyevich LEONOV - Third Secretary

~~SECRET~~  
~~NO FOREIGN DISSEM~~

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

6002025  
PAGE 156 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~  
NO FOREIGN DISSEM

Enclosure B

AM

22 November coverage of Soviet Embassy normal.

PM

22 November coverage follows:

1230 - Cuban Consul Alfredo Mirabal Diaz asks to speak with Yatskov. Latter apparently unavailable and Kostikov comes on line with following conversation ensuing familiar form "tu"

KOS: Forgive me for arriving late.

MIR: Did you recover the suitcase?

KOS: Yes

MIR: Then it was arriving on the other trip.

KOS: Yes

MIR: I called to tell you the following, that regarding that matter that we had talked about, to see if we would spend Sunday in Chapultepec Park because my wife is preparing some food to eat there.

KOS: I'm sorry but I've just made plans for another trip and I'm leaving this very day. So please forgive me for not being able to go with you.

MIR: I'd like to talk to Pavel Yatskov.

KOS: Wait a minute, he'll come now.

MIR: If he's busy I'll call him later, but I'll be available for the next half hour at telephone No. 11-28-47.

KOS: O. K.

1334 - Reporter requests statement. Unidentified Soviet male refuses.

1338 - Female implies to Kukhareenko that the Soviet Ambassador has said to make no statement.

1445 - Yugoslav Ambassador Vlahov calls and speaks with Soviet Ambassador Lazarev in Russian. Talk briefly about assassination telling each other what they know, which

~~SECRET~~  
NO FOREIGN DISSEM

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

6002136

PAGE 157 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_



REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~  
~~NO FOREIGN DISSEM~~

appears to be only what they got from the radio.

- 1350 - Unidentified woman advises Soviets of assassination.
- 1644 - Unidentified reporter asks for Kazantsev, advised that Kazantsev not in, will be back Monday, 0930-1400.
- 1645 - Unidentified male asks for Kukharensko, told he isn't in.
- 1652 - Unidentified female reporter told Soviet Embassy not working today, Saturday or Sunday.
- 1716 - Reporter calls for statement. None given.
  - Kazantsev calls his residence, personal call.
- 1744 - Reporter told to call back Monday.
- 1750 - Unidentified male asks for Leonov. Not in.
- 1755 - above calls again
  - Reporter told call back Monday.

~~SECRET~~  
~~NO FOREIGN DISSEM~~

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0002031

PAGE 158 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~  
NO FOREIGN DISSEM

ATTACHMENT C TO CSCI-3/773,261

1. Ivan Gavrilovich ALFERIEV - Pravda Correspondent
2. Valeriy Vladimirovich KOSTIKOV - Attache Consular Office
3. Aleksey Ivanovich GARNASCHEV - Consul
4. Vitaliy Borisovich SHUBIN - Attache
5. Yuriy Dmitriyevich RALININ - Chauffeur
6. Boris Aleksandrovich KAZANTSEV - Counselor
7. Vladimir Ivanovich SEPAKOVICH - Third Secretary
8. Vladimir Ivanovich ANDREYEV - First Secretary
9. Vladimir Vasilyevich TURTIGIN - Third Secretary
10. Pavel Antonovich YATSKOV - Attache
11. Vladimir PORKHUNOV - Code Clerk
12. Gennadiy STROGANOV - Radio Operator
13. Nikolay Petrovich SLAVOV - Code Clerk
14. Vladimir ROMASCHENKO - Second Secretary
15. Lev Fedorovich SHENKALOV - Employee, Commercial Office
16. Oleg Maksimovich NECHIBORHENKO - Vice Consul
17. Sergey Semyonovich KUKHARUKO - Second Secretary; Soviet Information Bulletin
18. Nikolay Sergeyevich LECNOV - Third Secretary

~~SECRET~~  
NO FOREIGN DISSEM

6002032

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 159 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

WARNING NOTICE

SENSITIVE SOURCES AND

IS

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

23 JUL 1963

MEMORANDUM FOR: Mr. J. Lee Rankin  
General Counsel  
President's Commission on the  
Assassination of President Kennedy

SUBJECT: Lee Harvey Oswald

1. Mr. James W. Liebler of your staff phoned me last Friday and requested that CIA furnish the Commission with an affidavit respecting the origin and circumstances of a photograph of an unknown individual which was furnished by this Agency to the Federal Bureau of Investigation on November 22, 1963.

2. I am forwarding an affidavit with this memorandum which I trust will satisfy your needs. Because of the extreme sensitivity of the source involved, I have refrained from adding further details.

3. The Central Intelligence Agency recommends that this photograph not be reproduced in the Commission's report, because it would jeopardize a most confidential and productive operation. In addition, it could be embarrassing to the individual involved who as far as this Agency is aware, had no connection with Lee Harvey Oswald or the assassination of President Kennedy.

4. In view of the above, a sensitivity indicator has been affixed to this communication.

Richard Helms  
Deputy Director for Plans

Attachment - a/s

~~SECRET~~

WARNING NOTICE

SENSITIVE SOURCES AND

ARE NOT INVOLVED

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

6002633

PAGE 160 of 212  
COPY NO.

D 2570

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

Distribution:

- Orig & 1 - Addressee  
2 - DDP  
1 - CI/R&A/Oswald 201  
1 - CI/R&A/Dooley  
1 - WH/Whitten

CI/R&A/Dooley:pm 22 July 1964.

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

0002034

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 161 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~  
~~EYES ONLY~~

20 February 1964

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, CI/R&A

SUBJECT: Documents Available in OSWALD's 201 File

1. A machine listing of documents officially recorded as being in OSWALD's 201 file was requested and is attached. The actual machine work of this type was begun in 1963, but a few items of previous dates were also recorded.

2. A comparison of the documents physically available in the 201 file and those recorded as being in the 201 file has shown that 37 documents which should be in the 201 file are not available in it. This total is made up of:

- 2 dispatches
- 7 memoranda from the FBI
- 1 CSCI
- 2 State Department documents
- 25 cables.

3. Machine inquiries for the location of these documents have not been made.

Document Number 563-810

for FOIA Review on JUN 1976

*As. keep  
loose in file  
the last  
volume of  
Oswald's  
201*

~~SECRET~~  
~~EYES ONLY~~

0002035

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 162 of 212  
COPY NO.       

D 2571

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

*Had  
for Rankin's  
call  
witness called  
9/3/64*

~~SECRET~~

Mr. J. Lee Rankin

Would you please be good enough to tele-  
phone me on Monday, 9 March, by which time I  
assume you will have had an opportunity to  
glance at this material.

(Signed) Richard Helms

Richard Helms

Attachment

6 March 1964

~~SECRET~~

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0002036

PAGE 163 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

D2572

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

4-1224

XAAZ-22595

6 Mar 64

MEMORANDUM FOR: Mr. J. Lee Rankin

SUBJECT:

Information in CIA's Possession  
Regarding Lee Harvey OSWALD  
Prior to November 22, 1963 as Transmitted  
to Warren Commission

1. This refers to your letter of February 12, 1964 addressed to the Director of Central Intelligence which has been passed to me for reply.

2. Paragraph three of your letter requested the Agency to supply the Commission with a report on information in the Agency's possession regarding Lee Harvey OSWALD prior to November 22, 1963. The request was predicated upon the footnote on page two of CIA's report to the Commission dated 31 January 1964, entitled: "Information Developed by CIA on the Activity of Lee Harvey OSWALD in Mexico City, 28 September - 3 October 1963".

3. There is attached an exact reproduction of the Agency's official dossier on Lee Harvey OSWALD beginning with the opening sheet dated 9 December 1960. The entire dossier carries the security classification of Secret and consists of thirty documents arranged in chronological order. On the basis of clearances from the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Department of State, the Department of Navy, and the Immigration and Naturalization Service, who were queried by us after the receipt of your letter, we are able to make available exact copies of all materials in the file up to early October 1963. These include:

Document Number

590-252

for FOIA Review on

JUN 1976

1 ATT.

COPY

201-289245  
6 Mar 64

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0002037

PAGE 164 of 212  
COPY NO.

D 2572

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

- a. Seven documents received from the Federal Bureau of Investigation.
- b. Ten documents received from the Department of State.
- c. Two documents received from the Department of Navy.
- d. One document (a name check request) from the Immigration and Naturalization Service.
- e. Four newspaper clippings.
- f. Five internal CIA notes.
- g. CIA report dated 31 January 1964 to the Commission which covers all substantive developments affecting CIA in the matter of Lee Harvey OSWALD from 9 October to 22 November 1963. The report indicated the disseminations of information developed by CIA that were made to other Federal agencies during that period. This item, as was pointed out at the time, is particularly sensitive and bears appropriate sensitivity indicators.

4. You will note that almost all of the documents carry markings required for CIA's internal records processing. A few of the papers contained the names of our employees or identifications of specific organizational components. We have taken the liberty of blocking out these items as they do not bear on the substance of the Commission's request.

Richard Helms  
Deputy Director for Plans

Attachment

Original & 2 - Addressee 1 - C/CI/SIG  
1 - DDP Subject 1 - C/CI/R&A  
1 - DDP Chrono 1 - C/WH/3  
1 - C/CI 1 - 201-289248

CI:RGROccardc/7468/4 March 1964 (Inventory of documents contained in file attached for all but addressee)

201-289248

2038

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 165 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_



REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

Inventory of Documents Contained in OSWALD Dossier Forwarded  
to the Commission

- ✓ 1. State Telegram No. 1304, October 31, 1959 (Confidential) ✓
- ✓ 2. Newspaper Article from the Washington Post, November 1, 1959
- ✓ 3. CI/LSN Internal Note dated 2 November 1959 (oral FBI name check request) and NR reply dated 4 November 1959 (Confidential)
- ✓ 4. Foreign Service Despatch 234, November 2, 1959 (Confidential) ✓
- ✓ 5. State Telegram 1358, November 9, 1959 (Confidential) ✓
- ✓ 6. State Telegram 1448, November 9, 1959 (Confidential) ✓
- ✓ 7. Newspaper Clipping from Washington Post, November 16, 1959
- ✓ 8. Newspaper Clipping from Evening Star, November 26, 1959
- ✓ 9. DBF 49478, 25 May 1960 (Confidential)
- ✓ 10. Internal CIA Biographic Profile dated circa May 1960 (Confidential)
- ✓ 11. Letter from the Department of State (Cumming) to DDP (Bissell) dated October 25, 1960 (DD/P 0-5679 - Secret)
- ✓ 12. *Included in interim response*  
DDP Response to State, dated 21 November 1960 (DD/P 0-6003 - Secret)
- ✓ 13. Internal CIA Request for Opening of 201 file, 9 December 1960 (Secret)
- ✓ 14. State Memorandum of Conversation dated January 26, 1961 (OUO) ✓
- ✓ 15. State Instruction A-273, April 13, 1961 (OUO) ✓
- ✓ 16. Foreign Service Despatch 806, May 26, 1961 (OUO)
- ✓ 17. Foreign Service Despatch 29, July 11, 1961 (OUO) ✓
- ✓ 18. DFB 2181, July 13, 1961 (Confidential) -

ATT. 1 to: XAAZ-22595 CS COPY

201-289248

002039

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 166 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

XAAZ-22575

6 Mar 64

- ✓/19. Internal CIA Memo for the Record, 28 September 1961 (Secret)
- ✓/20. Foreign Service Despatch 317, October 12, 1961 (OUO) ✓
- ✓/21. I&NS Name Check Request, December 5, 1961
- ✓/22. Navy Message 20197/RB/3, 3 March 1962 (Confidential)
- ✓/23. DNA 1624, 26 April 1962
- ✓/24. Newspaper Clipping from Washington Post, June 9, 1962.
- ✓/25. DBA 20883, September 7, 1962 (Confidential)
- ✓/26. DBA 51407, 10 September 1963
- ✓/27. DBA 52355, 24 September 1963 <sup>date of list</sup> 9 November 1963 \* done when
- ✓/28. DBA 55715 (number unclear), November 7, 1963 <sup>31 October 1963 - date of</sup>
- ✓/29. DBA 55777, November 8, 1963 <sup>21 October 1963 (date of list)</sup>
- ✓/30. CIA Summary Report on Activities of OSWALD in Mexico City, dated 31 January 1964

CS COPY

-2-

201-289248

0002040

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 167 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE  
24  
RYBAT SECRET

ORIG: Erich W. Isenstead:br  
UNIT: C/EE/G  
EXT: 7858  
DATE: 6 Jan 1964

☐ INDEX  
☒ NO INDEX  
☐ FILE IN CS FILE NO.

12-62  
ROUTING  
1  
2  
3

TO: BERLIN FRANKFURT  
FROM: DIRECTOR  
CONF: C/WH 4  
INFO: DCI, D/DCI, DDP, ADPP, C/CI, C/CI/SI, VR

6 JAN 64 19 45 z

SIG CEN  
DEFERRED  
ROUTINE

TO: BRLN INFO: FRAN

CITE DIR

92725

RE: BRLN 5267 (IN 90848)

IN VIEW REGGAB CONNECTION MARINA OSWALD HEADQUARTERS

DOES NOT DESIRE LONG-RANGE OPS INVOLVEMENT SUGGESTED PARAGRAPH

3 REF.

END OF MESSAGE

C/S COMMENT: \*Reggab has number complex financial, documents and personal problems which leading him consider return to Moscow for further study in cinematographic institute. Believe he would definitely return if encouraged by us and that he susceptible to recruitment as KUBARK agent during Moscow sojourn and possibly as long range asset in Morocco.

Document Number

456-771

CS COPY

for FOIA Review on MAY 1976

D-200-5-41

cc: EE/G - [unclear]

Signed: [Signature]

R.O. #1 - Unit

C/WH

261-289248

DC/CI

C/WH

Note: If destruction, cite reasons and coordinate if appropriate.

ADPP

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

RYBAT SECRET

GROUP 1  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassification

C/EE

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

Copy No.

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.

6062041

PAGE 168 of 212  
COPY NO.

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

D 2573

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

4-16-65

XAAZ-22661

MEMORANDUM FOR: Mr. J. Lee Rankin

SUBJECT:

Reports on Activities and Travel  
of Lee Harvey OSWALD and  
Marina Nikolaevna OSWALD as Transmitted  
to Warren Commission

1. Reference is made to the second paragraph of your memorandum dated 12 February 1964, and the second paragraph of your memorandum of 16 March 1964, relative to dissemination of information made by CIA to the Secret Service.

2. Immediately after the assassination of President Kennedy CIA undertook to assemble through its stations in Europe all available data, including information in the files of other governments and intelligence services, on the travels and activities of Lee Harvey OSWALD and Marina Nikolaevna OSWALD. This information, as it was collected, was made available to the White House, the Department of State and the Federal Bureau of Investigation. Copies of these messages were also sent to the Secret Service.

3. Attached to this memorandum are exact copies of two teletyped messages relative to the travels and activities of the OSWALDs (Attachments A and B). Paragraphs g and h of Attachment C are paraphrased. In Attachment C, OUT Teletype No. 85715, are found references to two other teletyped messages (OUT No. 85182 and OUT No. 85665) which describe one Richard Thomas GIBSON, a negro journalist

Document Number  
for FOIA Review on JUN 1976

627-821

US COPY

RESTRICTED TO CI/R.A

B 201 743808

5 ATTS. Hb

31-MAR 1964  
201-259248

0002042

PAGE 169 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

D 2574

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

now residing in Switzerland who has been active in the Fair Play for Cuba Committee. Paraphrases of No. 35132 and No. 85665 are included as Attachments D and E. Access to original texts of paraphrased items will be provided when members of your staff visit Langley. The information on which paragraphs g and h of Attachment C are based was received at CIA Headquarters on 27 November and disseminated on 28 November.

4. This memorandum and the attachments contain very sensitive information which has a direct bearing on sources and methods. An appropriate sensitivity indicator has therefore been affixed.

Richard Helms  
Deputy Director for Plans

Attachments-  
as stated

Distribution:

- Original & 2 - Addressee w/atts
- 1 - DDP Chrono w/atts
- 1 - DDP subject w/atts
- ✓ 1 - Mr. Rocca w/atts
- 1 - C/CI/SIG w/atts
- 1 - C/WH/3 w/atts
- 1 - 201-

CI/R&A/TRHall/6664/26 March 1964

US COPY

201-287248

-2-

0002043

PAGE 170 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

1P  
Attachment A

Teletype message, OUT No. 86702, dated 4 December 1963, filed at 1411 hours, to the White House, the Department of State and the Federal Bureau of Investigation, with copy to the Secret Service.

SUBJECT: Lee Harvey OSWALD

Records of the foreigners records office of the German Federal Republic in Cologne, Germany, show that Marina Nikolayevna Pusakova OSWALD crossed West Germany from East Germany to Holland on 3 June 1962. She traveled by rail, crossing into West Germany at Helmstedt on 3 June and entering Holland by rail at the Bentheim crossing point. She had West German visa 694/62 issued at the West Germany Embassy in Moscow. She listed her final destination as U.S.A. Comment: This confirms information from the U.S. Embassy in Moscow to the effect that the OSWALD family traveled from Moscow to Rotterdam by rail around 3 June 1962. Helmstedt and Bentheim are on the main rail route from Russia to Rotterdam. While Lee OSWALD himself and their infant daughter are not recorded in German records this is probably because little attention is paid to U.S. citizens and to infants in keeping travel records.

ATT. 1 t.

XAAZ-22601

63 6577

6802044

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 171 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

1P Attachment B

Teletype message, OUT No. 87520, dated 6 December 1963, filed at 1829 hours, to the White House, the Department of State and the Federal Bureau of Investigation, with copy to the Secret Service.

SUBJECT: Lee Harvey OSWALD

Reference is made to our OUT telegram No. 36702 of 4 December 1963 which gave information on the travel of Marina OSWALD (and presumably also Lee Harvey OSWALD and their daughter) across West Germany on their return from the Soviet Union. Information now available from Dutch authorities seems to confirm this. On 3 June 1962 one M. OSWALD, listed as a male Russian citizen, entered The Netherlands by train at the Oldenzaal border point.

Comment: It is believed that the listing of this person as a male instead of female was just an error of the border officials. Note that Oldenzaal is opposite Bentheim, the German border point where Marina OSWALD left Germany.

CS COPY

31-MAR-1964  
201-289243

ATT. 2 to XAAZ-22401

0002045

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 172 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITEDAttachment C

SP

Teltype message No. 85715, dated 29 November 1963, filed at 1340 hours, to the White House, the Department of State and the Federal Bureau of Investigation, with copy to the Secret Service.

SUBJECT: Travel of Lee Harvey OSWALD

1. The following information has been gathered from reliable sources regarding the travel of Lee Harvey OSWALD and his wife:

a. 9 October 1959: According to official

British travel records OSWALD arrived in Southampton this date claiming on his landing card that he had no fixed address but planned to remain in the United Kingdom one week for vacation before going on to "some school" in Switzerland. (Headquarters comment: Probably referring to the Albert Schweitzer College in Switzerland with which he is known to have been in correspondence.)

b. 10 October 1959: According to the same official British travel records OSWALD left London this date by air for Helsinki.

ATT. 3 + XAAZ-226-01

CS COPY

201-257248  
31-MAR-1964

6062046

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITEDPAGE 173 of 212  
COPY NO.



REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

c. October 1959: Stockholm newspaper, Dagens Nyheter, of 25 November 1963 states Lee OSWALD passed through Sweden during October 1959. Article also adds that OSWALD was unsuccessful in obtaining visa to the USSR in Helsinki which resulted in his returning to Stockholm. Two days after he arrived in Stockholm OSWALD traveled directly to Moscow. Concluding sentence of article states "This indicates that the Russian Embassy (Stockholm) gave him a visa." According to a reliable source there was no record that there was any request for a USSR visa processed through normal channels for OSWALD at any time during 1959, and source indicated it was difficult to explain how OSWALD might have received his visa in two days without going through normal channels. The only conclusion which can be drawn is that OSWALD must have received his visa directly from the Soviet Embassy in Stockholm which occasionally is done in special cases, but the source had no evidence to confirm this assumption.

-2-

0002047

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 174 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

27 NOV 62  
VA-1-6

d. 10 to 15 October 1959: According to a very reliable but extremely sensitive source OSWALD stayed at the Torni Hotel in Helsinki from 10 to 11 October and then moved to the Klaus Kurki Hotel where he stayed until 15 October, apparently waiting for a visa to be issued him by the Soviet Consulate in Helsinki. He traveled to the USSR by train, crossing at Vainikkala on 15 October.

e. 13 May 1962: According to a Dutch official source, the Dutch Charge in Moscow issued a transit visa to OSWALD's wife on 13 May 1962. Their records reveal his wife was born on 17 July 1941 instead of 19 July 1941 and in Severodvinsk instead of Minsk. There is no record of her having actually transited The Netherlands.

f. 1962: Official British records do not reflect that OSWALD returned to the United States from the USSR through the United Kingdom. However, if he were merely transiting, it would not have been necessary for him to fill out a landing card, and therefore there would be no record of his travel in the official traffic index.

-3-

0002048

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITEDPAGE 175 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

g. 1963: According to an extremely sensitive and reliable source, on 23 November Maria SNETHLAGE, head of the "Workgroup Informatis Cuba" (Workgroup Information Cuba), told an employee of the Cuban Embassy in The Hague that she was sure she had met "that Mr. LEE is Havana, who did the murder". She described him as a man of violence and entirely full of hate and indicated that it was possible that this man had been misused by a group. She said she had written to "GIBSON" about the bad impression she had had of this LEE. (Headquarters comment: Please refer to our teletype Nos. 83182 and 85665 for additional information on GIBSON.) Later, SNETHLAGE told Castro Cubans in The Hague that "Mr. LEE of the Fair Play for Cuba Committee" had been slandered and that it was another person named Lee CSWALD who had done it. SNETHLAGE seemed to be glad to have heard this "correct" version. (Headquarters comment: Mr. LEE may be identical with Vincent Theodore LEE who replaced Richard GIBSON as Head of the Fair Play for Cuba Committee.)

-4-

0002043

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITEDPAGE 176 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

b. According to reliable information, SNETHLAGE was in Cuba in January 1963, and according to an official Dutch source, she again traveled to Cuba for the May Day celebrations in 1963.

CS 1077

201-259245

-5-

0002050

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED


PAGE 177 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

2P  
Attachment D

Teletype No. 35132, dated 22 November 1963, filed at 1932 hours, to the White House, the Department of State and the Federal Bureau of Investigation, with copy to the Secret Service. (paraphrase)

SUBJECT: Lee Harvey OSWALD



1. In conversation with a close friend in Bern, Switzerland, on 23 November 1963, Richard Thomas GIBSON remarked that OSWALD is "one of us". OSWALD and GIBSON had corresponded two years previously, and OSWALD had joined the Committee. (Comment: Reference is presumably to the Fair Play for Cuba Committee.) GIBSON added that he had destroyed all his letters from OSWALD. OSWALD is crazy. GIBSON then remarked that he is happy that he was not in New York. He felt sorry for "LEE" in New York and for "TIBOR".

2. The information given above was supplied by an extremely sensitive source who is usually reliable.

3. According to other information that was available, Richard Thomas GIBSON, born 13 May 1931 in Los Angeles, California, is a negro journalist who has been active in the

ATT. 4 to XAAZ-22601

ES 607

31-MAR-1964  
20-289248

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

6002051

PAGE 178 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

Fair Play for Cuba Committee since 1960. He has resided  
since April 1963 at Lausanne, Switzerland. In this latter  
country GIBSON has participated in the publication of La  
Revoluzion Africaine

-2-

83 0071

201-287248

0002052

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 179 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

Attachment E  
28

Teletype message, OUT No. 35665, 28 November 1963, filed at 1826 hours, to the White House, the Department of State and the Federal Bureau of Investigation, with copy to the Secret Service. (paraphrased)

1. Our station in The Hague has reported that on 23 November 1963, a local Castroite named Maria SNETHLAGE told Third Secretary Ricardo SANTOS of the Cuban Embassy in The Hague that she knew the "Mr. LEE" (sic) who murdered President Kennedy. She described "LEE" as a man full of hate and violence, and speculated that he had been "misused by a group". She said she had written to GIBSON (undoubtedly Richard GIBSON, U.S. citizen of Lausanne, Switzerland, born 13 May 1935, a Castro sympathizer, who had visited The Netherlands recently and had been in contact both with the Soviet Ambassador and the Cuban Embassy).

2. Later that same day Maria SNETHLAGE told Secretary SANTOS that "Mr. LEE of the Fair Play for Cuba Committee" had been slandered. The assassin had been another person, Lee OSWALD. SNETHLAGE is reported to have been in Cuba in January and again in May 1963.

ATT. 5 to XAAZ-22601

CS COPY

31-MAR-1964  
201-287248

0002053

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 180 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

3. According to Dutch authorities on 7 November 1963, in talking about attacks by Cuban refugees against the Cuban mainland, SANTOS had replied "just wait and you will see what we can do. It will happen soon." Asked to be more precise, SANTOS had replied "just wait, just wait". SANTOS is reported to have a pro-Castro brother in the U.S.A.

4. A very sensitive source reports that after his conversations with SNETHLAGE, SANTOS was very angry because she had contacted him.

83 COPY

-2-

201-289248

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

C002054

PAGE 181 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_



REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~

WARNING NOTICE

SENSITIVE SOURCES AND  
METHODS INVOLVED

GROUP 4-2544

XAAZ-17620

DATE	10/1/64
TIME	10:00
BY	10/1/64
FOR	10/1/64
FROM	10/1/64
TO	10/1/64
INFO	10/1/64
FILE	10/1/64
REMARKS	10/1/64

MEMORANDUM FOR: Mr. J. Lee Rankin  
General Counsel  
President's Commission on the  
Assassination of President Kennedy

SUBJECT: Allegations Regarding Intelligence Training  
School in Minsk, USSR as Transmitted to  
Warren Commission

1. Allegations of the existence of a Soviet intelligence and/or sabotage training school in Minsk, USSR, have come to the attention of the Agency from press reviews. Also, there has been an informal inquiry from a member of the Commission, Mr. Dulles, very recently on this detail. I take this opportunity to furnish to the Commission the information which the Agency has on this topic.

2. A careful review of CIA files has produced no hard information regarding Soviet intelligence or sabotage training in Minsk since 1947. One item of information supplied by a Soviet defector in 1949 reported the existence, as of 1947, of an intelligence training school in Minsk. No additional details, however, were available regarding its curriculum or location in Minsk. Information developed from our sources since 1949 has not mentioned, confirmed, or corroborated the continued existence of the installation.

3. Another reference in CIA files to training in Minsk relates to the existence of a sabotage school which functioned in Minsk until the German attack on the Soviet Union in 1941, after which the school was moved to Leningrad.

Document Number 126-314  
for FOIA Review on JUN 1976

FOR SPECIAL AGENT OF THE  
BUREAU  
200 5-14

201-289248

200 5-41

~~SECRET~~

WARNING NOTICE  
SENSITIVE SOURCES AND  
METHODS INVOLVED

GROUP 1
GROUP 2
GROUP 3
GROUP 4

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0002055

PAGE 182 of 212  
COPY NO.

D 2575

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~  
~~SECRET~~

WARNING NOTICE

SI

4. These two items of information are the only ones in the possession of this Agency relating to intelligence and sabotage training in Minsk. They are furnished to the Commission in order to complete its records. Because of the sensitive sources involved, it has been necessary to affix a sensitivity indicator to this memorandum.

Richard Helms  
Deputy Director for Plans

**Distribution:**

Original & 1 - Addressee

2 - DDP

1 - SR/CI/R

1 - C/CI/SEC

1 - C/CI/R&A

1 - CI/R&A/TRHall

1 - CI/R&A/TOI

Originated by: CI/R&A/TRHall:na(5664, 4 June 1964)

-2-

201-289248

200-544

~~SECRET~~  
~~SECRET~~

WARNING NOTICE  
SENSITIVE SOURCES AND  
METHODS INVOLVED

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0062056

PAGE 183 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

16 December 1963

TO: Director  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
Attention: Mr. J. G. Smith

FROM: Deputy Director (Plans)

SUBJECT: X Peter BRUBIN's Comments on the Kennedy Assassination

1. Attached for your review are the written comments of SAC Director Peter BRUBIN on some aspects of the assassination of President John F. Kennedy. As you know, BRUBIN defected from the KGB about two years ago, and his personal knowledge is not up to date, but he has stayed in touch with Soviet intelligence developments to the best of his ability. His comments on how the CIA and his wife must have been handled by Soviet intelligence authorities while they were inside the Soviet Union are particularly interesting and his suggestions for the questioning of Mrs. Marina BRUBIN are equally provocative.

2. We have decided to pass on his views without editing, and this Agency does not specifically endorse his conclusions or recommendations.

C/3/3/3/3/3

Enclosure: For paragraph 1.

C/3/3/3/3/3/3/3

12 December 1963

Distribution:

Orig. 2 - Addressed  
1 - RI  
1 - CI/LIA  
1 - CI/AM  
1 - CI/AMC  
2 - C/3/3/3  
1 - W/3

BASIC:

None

NO SUBJECT

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0002057

PAGE 184 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

D2576

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

CSCI-3/779,135

16 Dec 63

TO : Director  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
Attention: Mr. S. J. Papich

FROM : Deputy Director (Plans)

SUBJECT : Peter DERZABIN's Comments on the Kennedy Assassination

1. Attached for your perusal are the written comments of KGB defector Peter DERZABIN on some aspects of the assassination of President John F. Kennedy. As you know, DERZABIN defected from the KGB about ten years ago, and his personal knowledge is not up to date, but he has stayed in touch with Soviet intelligence developments to the best of his ability. His comments on how Lee OSWALD and his wife must have been handled by Soviet intelligence authorities while they were inside the Soviet Union are particularly interesting and his suggestions for the questioning of Mrs. Marina OSWALD are equally provocative.

2. We have decided to pass on his views without editing, and this Agency does not specifically endorse his conclusions or recommendations.

CSCI-3/779,135

Enclosures: Per paragraph 1

CLASSIFICATION REVIEW
CONDUCTED ON 25 MAY 1976
E IMPDET CL BY 9/2207

C/WH/3/J.M. Whitten:cmv

12 December 1963

Distribution:

BASIC:  
None

NO PROJECT

Orig. & 1 - Addressee  
1 - RI  
1 - CI/LIA  
1 - CI/R&A  
1 - CI/SIG  
2 - C/WH/3  
1 - WH/R

Document Number

413-76A

for FOIA Review on MAY 1976

16 DEC 63

Copy  
File

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0002058

PAGE 185 of 212  
COPY NO.

D 2576

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

SUBJECT : Peter DERYABIN's Comments on President Kennedy's Assassination

1. We should understand that my comments which follow are not based solely on the thesis that OSWALD was specifically dispatched to murder our President. The very real possibility also exists that OSWALD was sent here on another mission by the KGB and subsequently accomplished the deed on his own initiative. However, such a possibility does not make the KGB less culpable as the seeds for OSWALD's act must have been planted while he was being trained in the USSR for his other mission. We might first examine the question uppermost in the minds of most Americans, "What did the USSR have to gain by killing the President?" I believe we can make a good case as to the precise gains accruing to the USSR and most specifically accruing to KHRUSHCHEV. The assassination of President Kennedy would accomplish the following for KHRUSHCHEV personally:

a. Western pressure behind the leadership of the USA would automatically ease up. Witness President Johnson's immediate conciliatory telegram to KHRUSHCHEV, after the murder. We might mention that the USA was the chief proponent for not extending long range credits to the USSR. Extension of long range credits is vital to the USSR at this juncture.

b. This leads us into the most pressing problem within the USSR. The West persistently underestimates the extent of the Soviet internal situation. It was my prediction that as a result of the mismanagement of the 1963 harvest and the CHICOM arguments that KHRUSHCHEV would resign during the upcoming December plenum of the Communist Party of the USSR. Our President's death thus effectively diverts the Soviets' attention from their internal problems. It directly affects KHRUSHCHEV's longevity.

c. In the Cuban situation any USA or Cuban expatriate actions against Cuba will not be tainted by the fact that these are vengeful acts against Cuba because of OSWALD's "Fair Play for Cuba" associations. Obviously the Soviets properly interpret our situation in that President JOHNSON will restrain any planned interventions in Cuba for a long time.

d. A more amenable America will strengthen KHRUSHCHEV's hand in his running battle with the CHICOMs. He will thus have another reason to say his form of peaceful coexistence is superior to that of the CHICOMs'.

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0002053

PAGE 186 of 212  
COPY NO.

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

-2-

e. Conceivably any of President KENNEDY's planned actions to get even more firm with the Soviets during the pre-election year are thus sabotaged by the President's murder.

f. The Soviets obviously understood that the death of President KENNEDY would result in the emergence of DeGAULLE as a strong Western leader. DeGAULLE of course says "what's good for France is not necessarily bad for the USSR."

g. The death of President KENNEDY removes a popular rallying point for our allies. Furthermore, and more pertinent, his death removes a symbol for Soviet intellectuals who have inevitably made invidious comparisons between their own intellectual desert and the flowering of the arts under the KENNEDYS. The problem of the intellectuals in the USSR should not be interpreted as the least of KHRUSHCHEV's internal problems. We must recall that beginning with Lenin, intellectuals have provided the impetus for revolution in the USSR and they comprise one of the three balls KHRUSHCHEV must constantly juggle--the intellectuals, the party and the military.

h. If the USSR has any ambitious aims in manipulating U. S. public opinion their murder of President KENNEDY would serve to exacerbate the present differences between the radical left and right in America. In fact the USSR propaganda machine began to say the murder was committed by the radical right as soon as the deed was done and before OSWALD was captured.

i. Finally, the death of President KENNEDY, whether a planned operation or not, will serve the most obvious purpose of providing proof of the power and omniscience of the KGB. This application of sheer terror could be interpreted as a warning to Russia's own citizens, as the Soviet intelligence services have suffered some very real reverses recently with PERKOVSKY, GOLITSIN's defection, their ignominious expulsion from the Congo, etc. I have long predicted that the USSR would take some drastic action to halt the rapid erosion of their security.

2. Can we briefly view the OSWALD operation as a mounted KGB operation to kill the President? What are the essential ingredients?

a. The KGB had some three years to assess OSWALD in the USSR. Laymen will deprecate the value that the KGB attaches to such on the spot assessment. They may also say that OSWALD was a nut and properly would not be entrusted with such an operation by the KGB. However, the KGB properly knows that historically most assassins have been unbalanced, maladjusted types.

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0002050

PAGE 187 of 212  
COPY NO.

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

-3-

b. In such an operation the KGB could not use a Soviet citizen though the very real possibility exists that OSWALD was assisted by a Soviet illegal of the KGB 13th Department. Sticking to essentials--It was a good plan that did succeed.

c. OSWALD did escape from the book building.

d. He did get to a theater which could have been his point of contact with his illegal case officer. Certainly we know the KGB's penchant for using theaters for meeting places.

e. After his arrest, which was only due to his unfortunate encounter with Policeman TIERNEY, OSWALD did remain silent. How like the behavior of Col. ASH was his behavior in this regard.

3. Also the very real possibility exists that the KGB intended to liquidate OSWALD after he did the job. His meeting in the theater was probably for just such a liquidation or removal from the scene. In RUBY's part in the operation we must recognize the possibility that RUBY was also a KGB hatchet man. Looking at the bare essentials of his part in the operation we see the following:

a. RUBY had access to the police station. Reports say he personally knew most policemen.

b. He successfully silenced OSWALD.

c. RUBY remains silent and his cover is holding up.

d. He has a good legend of temporary insanity.

e. He has a reasonable chance to escape the death sentence.

4. The undersigned might be better qualified to comment on the OSWALD aspects of this case if we knew the following about his activities prior to his departure to the USSR: (a., b., and c. below offer three possible answers to the question, "Why did he go to Moscow?")

a. First, OSWALD was a self-made Marxist or Communist who decided to go on his own; that is, he made this decision by himself and prompted by no one. He possibly was looking for a better life without knowing what the Soviet Union really is.

b. Second, after OSWALD's discharge as an "undesirable" from the Marines, he found himself in a difficult and unpleasant situation: is it possible that in this situation he was noticed by a spotter or recruiter for the Illegals or some other department and was considered as a possible candidate for use or

incorrect  
+ time  
sequence -  
LHO  
didn't  
know of  
discharge  
status till  
after he  
defected

SECRET

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0002061

PAGE 188 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

-4-

recruitment? The full information about OSWALD was sent to Moscow, and on Moscow's order an investigation of OSWALD was made and there followed a decision to "invite" him to Moscow. Using the word "invite", the undersigned has in mind that some agents or recruiters through conversations with OSWALD, but without actually suggesting the trip itself, inspired OSWALD to travel to the USSR. And, in this case, it is possible that someone gave him some financial assistance and some advice on how to do this.

c. Third, OSWALD went to Moscow, or was sent to Moscow, by some pro-Soviet, pro-Communist, pro-Cuban organization(s), having in mind that he would stay in the Soviet Union for a few years, learning more about the Soviet Union and receiving instructions for future operations, activities, etc.

5. Knowing for sure that the Soviets never give a visa without making an investigation of the person making the application, we have to make our own investigation of the following questions:

a. When did OSWALD first begin to express his wish to go to the Soviet Union?

b. To whom did he talk, when did he contact at this early time, and how much time elapsed between these talks and contacts and his application?

c. How, when and through whom did OSWALD get his Soviet visa?

d. How long did it take for him to get the visa?

e. Who personally gave the visa to OSWALD? We must know if the person at the Embassy who talked to OSWALD was a KGB employee.

f. When and how did OSWALD travel to the USSR (air, sea; through which countries; in which countries, if any, did he make stops; how long were such stops)?

6. Together with the above, it is very important to know of OSWALD's circumstances before his trip to the USSR. Who knew in advance that he was going and who knew that he had gone: Mother, brother, relatives, friends, neighbors, girl friends, boy friends, old buddies, etc? To whom did OSWALD say goodbye before he left for the USSR: personally or by telephone, by mail? Did he ever ask anyone any questions on traveling to the USSR? When?

~~SECRET~~

0002/62

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 189 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_



REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

~~SECRET~~  
-5-

7. OSWALD in Moscow. When OSWALD arrived in Moscow, he was under observation, investigation and complete control by the KGB. In this particular case, under the Second Chief Directorate (CI). Being under the control of the KGB, at the same time he was under heavy investigation directed at answering the question of why this stupid American had come to the USSR (it doesn't make any difference whether they know in advance about OSWALD or not; anyway, they would conduct such an investigation). Every possible bit of information was taken from OSWALD about the USA, especially about his service in the Marine Corps, etc. At the same time, OSWALD was under constant observation and study for possible future use by the Soviet Intelligence and CI services.

8. It should be noted here that any foreigner, especially an American, who arrives in the USSR for permanent or prolonged stay always is examined by the Soviet State Security as a possible candidate for future use (special training and recruitment) within the USA or other countries (but against the USA). After a good study and investigation which continues about six months in Moscow, under normal financial support and minimum comfort from the KGB, the KGB makes its conclusions: that OSWALD is clear and is who he claims to be and that he might possibly be used or useful for Soviet Intelligence or CI Service. NOTE: the undersigned believes that during his (OSWALD'S) first few months in Moscow, additional inquiry and investigation of OSWALD was going on through the Soviet Embassy in Washington and through Soviet agents networks in the USA and possibly through pro-Soviet and pro-Communist organizations within the USA.

9. After being a few weeks or months in Moscow, OSWALD expressed a wish to stay forever in the Soviet Union and to be a Soviet citizen. Then the KGB said to him: "If you really want to be a Soviet citizen and serve the Communist cause, you must denounce American Imperialism and American citizenship." Therefore, somewhere in this period, OSWALD went to the U. S. Embassy and renounced his U. S. citizenship. After this act State Security decided to give OSWALD some kind of job in accordance with his knowledge and capabilities, at the same time continuing to study him as a potential agent.

10. Because to make a good agent takes a long time and because OSWALD was impatient--and because he had not yet been given Soviet citizenship--the KGB decided not to make of him a good agent, but did not break relations with him and decided to use him in a more or less open way.

11. When OSWALD showed some dissatisfaction about the Soviet way of life (it is usual for Americans)--and by this time OSWALD had already met his girl friend (the KGB probably helped

~~SECRET~~

00263

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 190 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

-6-

him to find her—to make him happy and to make sure that he would not leave the Soviet Union)—the KGB at the same time continued to train him, probably in the way of an old-fashioned Marxist, telling him that he would be a good fighter against imperialists and against American millionaires, such as ROCKEFELLER, KENNEDY and others. And somewhere here, while in this kind of training, a low level case officer of State Security told him that to have a better life in the U. S. you have to fight very hard to bury capitalism; as our Nikita Sergeyevich says, together with capitalism, you have to bury all the millionaires, including your first beast and block-sucker, KENNEDY (NOTE: this is not a tall story; it is the way in which State Security operates with the stupid Marxists and with naive followers of the Communist movement). If on a high level within the KGB it was decided that there is nothing good in OSWALD and that he is just a naive American and that he could not be relied upon fully, but that nonetheless he could be used because he is for our cause and is against capitalism in general, then the following would have been suggested—after OSWALD already had asked permission to return to the USA, OSWALD was told to be a good fighter against capitalism and to secure your Soviet citizenship, you must show yourself as a good fighter for the Communist cause inside the USA; then, we give you permission because we believe you are a strong Marxist to return to the USA and to do something for our common cause, such as to help any American pro-Soviet organizations or, for instance, become a member of a Free Cuba Committee or in case of crisis to do something outstanding—that will be noticeable everywhere—that will prove that you are a real Communist. Then, somewhere here, if he was already a Soviet agent or not, the girl showed up, or she was there before, but by this time she was pregnant and OSWALD decided to go to the USA. Then he was told. After this talk, OSWALD shouted—where is your freedom? She is my wife, we have a child, and I would like to go. If he did make a big noise, they decided to let him and her go; or if he already was a trained agent, then without any kind of noise on his part, but with some difficulties, permission was granted for her to go with him.

12. Looking at the wife of OSWALD, we should have in mind that she was and still is an agent or at least a low-level informant of the KGB. If she was not before she met OSWALD, she became so after the second day she met him. This is the regulation in State Security on how to handle foreigners—it makes no difference whether they are Communists or not.

13. Investigation of OSWALD's wife should be undertaken as soon as possible, with special attention being paid the questions to follow:

0002064

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

PAGE 191 of 212  
COPY NO.

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

-7-

a. First, who is she? Her education, profession, age, family background, Party affiliation (Komsomol membership). If she was a member of the Komsomol, then the Komsomol organization will take any steps possible against her traveling to the U. S. Also, she must be expelled from the Komsomol, and then she automatically would be considered a member of the Imperialist Camp. Then, if she was a member of the Komsomol and this action did not take place, it was because of KGB interference against such action. The same action would relate to any of her relatives—father, mother, brothers....if they were members of the CPSU or Komsomol.

b. To which offices was she invited before and after their marriage? If she was invited to some official Soviet offices, and if these offices asked her not to marry a foreigner and not to go with him, then probably she was not a member of the Komsomol and she did it on her own will; but if she answers that no one invited her to such offices, then the whole job was done by the KGB—smoothly and quietly, with no talk going around about it.

c. Who helped her and how many times to write papers for the Soviet Ministry of Foreign Affairs to say that she had married an American citizen and would like to go with him to the USA? If it was done a few times and with great difficulties, then probably it was done only with a little help from the KGB. If, however, the papers were prepared only once and permission was granted after only a few months' wait, then everything was done by the KGB. (According to the American newspapers, her application for permission to come to the U. S. was processed very easily and quickly.)

d. When and where did they register their marriage? Who were the witnesses to that marriage? How many relatives and friends (of wife) were present at the wedding and celebration. What kind of gifts did they receive at the time of marriage and from whom? Where did they take up residence after marriage? Were they given an apartment, or a room? And in what neighborhood?

e. Where did her husband, OSWALD, work? In what factory? What were his hours of work? How long did he spend in Moscow before he went to Minsk? Who chose Minsk—did he or did someone else?

f. Who were her husband's friends? From what circles? Workers? Intellectuals?

g. How many times were she and her husband—while they lived together—invited to the police stations or any other Soviet government offices, together or separately? (NOTE: There is no other office than the KGB which would make such an invitation. It makes no difference if they were agents or not.)

~~SECRET~~

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0002065

PAGE 192 of 212  
COPY NO.

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

-3-

h. How smart (intelligent) is she? Does she really speak no English? Is her English better than she shows or better than it should be after being here only two years? Or worse?

i. What does she say about life in the Soviet Union?

j. Did her husband have a gun while he was in the Soviet Union? If so, how does she know about it? When did he get it? Did he have special permission to carry a gun? Did he bring this gun with him across the border? For your information, nobody carries a gun in the USSR without the KGB eventually learning of it. Least of all an American.

k. Who gave financial help to them before they left the Soviet Union? (NOTE: For a regular worker in the Soviet Union, it is impossible to save enough money to buy a ticket and make any kind of preparations to go abroad.)

l. Who gave instructions to OSWALD to ask for financial assistance at the American Embassy upon his return to the USA?

m. Was their first child born in Russia—baptized in the USSR? If so, in what church? Whose idea was it? Did they baptize their second child, born in the U. S.?

n. If OSWALD never had a permanent job here in the USA, then who was going to finance his next trip to the USSR? How much did his wife know about his plan to return to the USSR via Cuba?

14. The investigation of the wife should be made step by step, keeping in mind and never forgetting that OSWALD as well as she herself were under constant observation and with constant contacts with organs of the KGB. Without such observation and contacts with organs of the KGB, no foreigners can live within the Soviet Union.

15. In any investigation of this case we should not lose the initiative. In view of the extraordinary circumstances surrounding this case, the FBI, through the Department of State, could logically enough request that the USSR provide all available info on OSWALD's story in the USSR and the purpose of his visit to the Soviet Embassy in Mexico City. A friendly nation can be expected to honor such a request. We might learn a great deal from the Soviet reply.

SECRET

SECRET

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0002066

PAGE 193 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

5X-11057

O T.H. BAGLEY Memo: CIA Work in Support of Warren Commission, Details of Discussions 15 Jan (Angleton, Rocca, Bagley) and 14 Jan (Dulles, Rankin, Helms, Bagley, Rocca.)  
MEMORANDUM OF CONVERSATION

TO: Chief, SR

SUBJECT: CIA Work in Support of the Warren Commission

1. Below is a summary of the matters discussed in our meeting with Messrs. Angleton and Rocca on the evening of 15 January 1964. In turn, Mr. Angleton was reporting to us the substance of his meeting with Mr. Allen Dulles, Mr. J. Lee Rankin, Chief Counsel, Mr. Wellins of the Justice Department, Mr. Helms and Mr. Rocca, which took place on the afternoon of 14 January 1964.

2. Mr. Angleton said that Mr. Dulles, apparently provoked by press accusations that the Warren Commission has been dilatory, asked CIA for suggestions of questions or requests to be included in a letter to the Soviet Government. This letter, from the Warren Commission and to be transmitted through the Department of State, would seek additional evidence which is presumably available in Soviet files which could assist the Commission's deliberations. Mr. Dulles did not have a detailed idea of what he wanted to ask the Soviets for but did say that the questions should be "not too technical." Although Mr. Angleton thought that the letter should be written only after the CIA report and comments have been completed, in order to make the letter as effective as possible, Mr. Dulles felt it should go now, be phrased in generalities and allow the Soviets more flexibility in their handling of the matter than would a more pointed letter based on our concrete findings. Mr. Dulles asked CIA only for the questions and not for a draft letter. Mr. Murphy suggested that we ask only for certain records rather than put specific questions to the Soviets. Mr. Murphy suggested that these might include Soviet hospital records connected with Lee Oswald's attempted suicide, city visa and registration records for Moscow and Minsk, factory personnel records from Oswald's place of employment, etc. Also Oswald's diary noted that his case had passed through two commissions in the USSR; we might ask for the records of these commissions as well. Mr. Angleton said that in transmitting our suggestions to Mr. Dulles we can include any introductory paragraphs or explanatory comments that we

Document Number 482-193

for FOIA Review on MAY 1975

281-289248

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0002067

PAGE 194 of 212  
COPY NO.

D 2577

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

- 2 -

felt necessary to explain to Mr. Dulles the direction of our thinking about these proposals.

(Note: I instructed Mr. Wigren to prepare a draft of suggested queries, using the assistance of DERYABIN and to have this ready, if possible, by the close of business today.)

3. Rankin stated that the Commission wants to account in detail, minute by minute and hour by hour, for Oswald's time outside the United States. Mr. Bagley suggested that the narrative chronology of Oswald's stay in the Soviet Union be converted to a chronology tabulation which would permit us more simply to insert added details and dates as we learned of them in other documentation which we can expect to receive shortly. This was agreed.

(Note: I instructed Mr. Wigren to begin recasting the narrative immediately into this form.)

4. Mr. Rankin said that the Commission has a waist-high stack of documents and reports received from the FBI and Secret Service which CIA has not yet received. These include Secret Service interviews with Marina Oswald, letters from Lee Oswald in the USSR and other material. He promised to send this material to Mr. Helms shortly.

(Note: We will pass appropriate parts of this material to DERYABIN for further analysis along the lines of his earlier work on documents already in our possession.)

5. Messrs. Angleton, Murphy and Rocca considered DERYABIN's initial analysis of Marina Oswald's documents, and the questions to which it gave rise, to be a worthwhile and important contribution. CIA would be unable to make any serious analysis or even hypothesis until some of DERYABIN's questions had been answered. Mr. Rocca suggested that DERYABIN's paper be recast into more of a questionnaire form and Mr. Bagley agreed, pointing out that DERYABIN's comment and speculation could be put off to the side as explanatory comment on each question, as appropriate. Mr. Angleton and Mr. Murphy thought that it would be desirable to send this paper to the Warren Commission in advance of other CIA reports with a memorandum informing the Commission that we would need answers to this interim questionnaire in order to reconstruct a detailed chronology of Oswald's time in the USSR and to comment on his activity there. The Commission would be asked to procure the information from Marina through any Agency it might choose.

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

000268

PAGE 195 of 212  
COPY NO.

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

- 3 -

(Note: I instructed Mr. Wigren to prepare the DERYABIN paper in this format urgently.)

6. Mr. Angleton reported that he had asked the Commission representatives certain questions pertaining to our use of defectors in analysing the Oswald papers:

a. Was the Commission formally requesting us to have defectors analyse the material?

Mr. Wellins thought that the answer to this question is yes. However, Mr. Angleton asked for a formal request from the Commission to this effect. The FBI has stated that its reports are not to be passed to AELADLE, which may cause some complications.

b. Would the Commission be prepared to assume the burden of responsibility if such a defector should disagree with the Commission's opinions or come up with a radically different hypothesis or interpretation?

The persons present said that the Commission would.

c. If a defector who had contributed to the analysis of the documents should be unwilling to appear personally and formally before the Commission, would the Commission exercise its subpoena powers against him?

Mr. Rankin thought that if there was a risk involved for the defector, the Commission would not do so. However, Rankin promised to get a formal answer from the Commission on this point.

d. Will the classification we put on our correspondence to the Commission be honored?

The answer was that in principle our classification would be honored. However, Mr. Rankin warned those present that CIA should not hope to evade criticism or responsibility through classification of its material.

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0002063

PAGE 196 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_



REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

SX-11057

- 4 -

6. Mr. Angleton reported that Mr. Richard Davis is representing the interests of the Department of State in this inquiry. Mr. Thompson had ruled himself out because he was Ambassador to the Soviet Union at the time of the events in question.

7. One matter which had been brought to light by the Oswald case was the need for a mechanism in the United States Government to record and assimilate our knowledge of the Soviet handling of American defectors to the USSR. The Bureau is apparently in some danger of criticism for its failure to keep an eye on Oswald after his return. Similarly CIA has not systematically collected nor compiled information on Soviet defector handling. Berlin Base had kept a list of American defectors at one time, but these individuals have not been debriefed on their return to the West.

8. The Commission representatives at the 14 January 1964 meeting estimated that the Warren Commission would need from three to six months to complete its task.

9. Mr. Bagley raised the question of the desirability of having DERYABIN participate personally, representing the FBI as an interpreter perhaps, in a debriefing of Marina. Messrs. Angleton and Murphy were convinced that CIA must avoid direct involvement with this investigation. Although it is less efficient as a method, we will content ourselves with putting written questions to Marina to be ~~surfaces~~ by whatever Agency the Commission may choose to use.

Tennent H. Bagley  
Chief, SR/CI

201-289249

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0002070

PAGE 197 of 212  
COPY NO. \_\_\_\_\_



REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

XAAZ-27169

23 March 1964

ROCCA note to HELMS on  
Talk with DULLES on 21 March.

Dick:

4/3/64

Had a briefing at Allen Dulles' house on Saturday after-  
noon. (We were assembled to discuss his taping session with  
Deryabin, Helen MacInnes, Donovan and Hanson-Baldwin in New  
York tonight. None of the others were present.)

AWD showed me a letter he had received from Rankin  
recently expressing the desire to reach a modus vivendi in  
order to allay the story of CIA's possible sponsorship of Oswald's  
activity. The point of the communication to AWD was to suggest  
that he serve as <sup>CIA</sup> a file reviewer for the Commission. The letter  
outlined alternative possibilities in this matter (affidavit from  
the DCI, etc.).

In my presence, AWD wrote the answer:

a. Declining the invitation to serve as file  
reviewer for good and sufficient reasons.

b. Stating his willingness to provide a state-  
ment or testimony to the Commission with respect to  
his knowledge of Oswald during his tenure as DCI. He  
noted in the tail-off of the letter that as far as he could  
remember he had never had any knowledge of Oswald  
prior to the date of the assassination.

CS COPY

Rock

23 Mar 64

Document Number  
for FOIA Review on JUN 1976

618-793

204289248

REPRODUCTION  
PROHIBITED

0002071

PAGE 198 of 212  
COPY NO.